Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of:

The Digital Performance Right | Docket No. in Sound Recordings and 2005-1 CRB DTRA Ephemeral Recordings

(Webcasting Rate Adjustment Proceeding)

Volume 43

Room LM-414 Library of Congress First Street and Independence Avenue, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20540

Wednesday, November 22, 2006

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JAMES SLEDGE, Chief Judge THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. ROBERTS, JR., Judge THE HONORABLE STAN WISNIEWSKI, Judge

NEAL R. GROSS

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of SoundExchange:

DAVID A. HANDZO, Esquire; CRAIG A. COWIE, Esquire; JARED O. FREEDMAN, Esquire; THOMAS J. PERRELLI, Esquire; and PAUL M. SMITH, Esquire

of: Jenner & Block
601 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 1200 South
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 639-6060
dhandzo@jenner.com

GARY R. GREENSTEIN, Esquire
General Counsel
of: SoundExchange
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 330
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 828-0126
greenstein@soundexchange.com

On Behalf of National Public Radio Inc. (NPR), NPR Member Stations, and CPB-Qualified Public Radio Stations:

DENISE B. LEARY, Esquire
of: National Public Radio
635 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 513-2049
dleary@npr.org

On Behalf of Collegiate Broadcasters Inc. (CBI):

SETH D. GREENSTEIN, Esquire; and TODD ANDERSON, Esquire of: Constantine Cannon 1627 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 240-3514 sgreenstein@constantinecannon.com

WILL ROBEDEE 6100 South Main Street MS-529 Houston, TX 77005 (713) 348-2935 willr@ktru.org

On Behalf of Royalty Logic, Inc.:

KENNETH D. FREUNDLICH, Esquire
of: Schleimer & Freundlich, LLP
9100 Wilshire Boulevard
Suite 615 - East Tower
Beverly Hills, California 90212
(310) 273-9807
kfreundlich@earthlink.com

On Behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting System Inc. and Harvard Radio Broadcasting Co. Inc.:

WILLIAM MALONE, Esquire; and
MATTHEW K. SCHETTENHELM, Esquire
of: Miller & Van Eaton, PLLC
1155 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20036-4306
(202) 785-0600
wmalone@millervaneaton.com

NEAL R. GROSS

On Behalf of Digital Media Assoc. (DiMA), AOL, Live365, Microsoft Corp., Yahoo! Inc., and National Public Radio:

KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, Esquire
of: Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, California 94065
(650) 802-3100
kenneth.steinthal@weil.com

KRISTIN KING BROWN, Esquire; and DAVID J. TAYLOR, Esquire of: Weil, Gotshal & Manges 1300 Eye Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 682-7024

TODD LARSON, Esquire
of: Weil, Gotshal & Manges
567 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 310-8238

ROBERT G. SUGARMAN, Esquire; and WILLIAM R. CRUSE, Esquire of: Weil, Gotshal, & Manges 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153 (212) 310-8184

On Behalf of AccuRadio, Discombobulated LLC, Digitally Imported Inc., mvyradio.com LLC, Radioio.com LLC, Radio Paradise Inc., 3WK LLC, and Educational Media Foundation:

DAVID D. OXENFORD, Esquire of: Davis, Wright & Tremaine, LLP 1500 K Street, N.W. Suite 450 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 508-6656 davidoxenford@dwt.com

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 On Behalf of The National Religious
Broadcasters Noncommercial Music License
Committee, Bonneville International
Corp., Clear Channel Communications
Inc., Salem Communications Corp.,
Susquehanna Radio Corp., and The
National Religious Broadcasters Music
License Committee:

BRUCE G. JOSEPH, Esquire;
KARYN ABLIN, Esquire;
MATT ASTLE, Esquire;
MARGARET RYAN, Esquire; and
SETH WOOD, Esquire
of: Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 719-4913
bjoseph@wrf.com

On Behalf of SBR Creative Media:

DAVID RAHN
SBR Creative Media
7464 Arapahoe Road
Suite B4
Boulder, Colorado 80303
(303) 444-7700
dave@sbrcreative.com

I N D E X

WITNE	<u>ESS</u> :	<u>PAGE</u>
JAMES	G GRIFFIN	
•	CT (REBUTTAL) R. HANDZO	11
VOIR BY ME	DIRE R. TAYLOR	19
BY MF	E R. LARSON R. JOSEPH R. TAYLOR	97 176 245
REDIF BY MF	RECT R. HANDZO	273
Exhib	oit No. Exhibit Description	Mark Recd
R-7	Griffin Deposition	127
R-8	Screenshot, SoundExchange Rate Information	109
R-9	Screenshot, 6/15/06 IDC press release "IDA Says Wireless Users Could Surpass Online Music Service Users	
	by 2010"	160
R-10	Screenshot, IDC "U.S. Wireless Music 2006-2010 Forecast and Analysis."	160
R-11	Screenshot: BRS Media's statistical chart on the state of Internet radio	177

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Exhibit No. Exhibit Description	Mark Recd
R-12 BRS Media article "BRS Media' Web-Radio reports a steep decline in the number of	S
stations webcasting"	182
R-13 Warner Music Group 10Q	185
R-14 Screenshot: Yahoo Finance Page on Warner Music Group	
11/21/06	192
R-15 "Clear Channel Bows Mobile Service"	196 198
R-16 Screenshot: Z100 Mobile	204
R-17 Bates SX REB1743-45	214 216
R-18 6/14/06 Jacobs Media "If You Stream It They Will Come"	
R-19 Griffin testimony in SDARS	230
R-20 8/17/05 <u>London Times</u> Online "Do we care about the end of inflight WiFi?"	243
_	
R-21 "Announcement 1"	262
R-22 "Announcement 2"	266
R-23 "Announcement 3"	269
R-24 "Announcement 4"	270

NEAL R. GROSS

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	9:33 A.M.
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?
4	MR. HANDZO: Good morning, Your
5	Honor.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Good morning.
7	MR. HANDZO: Our witness this
8	morning is going to be Jim Griffin. Before I
9	put Mr. Griffin on, I wonder if the Court
10	would like to take a minute just to talk about
11	schedule. The one thing we don't have
12	scheduled yet is the submission of findings of
13	fact and conclusions of law by the parties.
14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That's right.
15	MR. HANDZO: I've had some
16	conversations at least with Mr. Joseph about
17	that and we can make a proposal to the Court.
18	I don't know if you would like it orally or
19	what we were going to propose is that the
20	findings be submitted on December 15 which I
21	believe is a Friday, that we have rebuttal
22	findings, if any, submitted on the following

1	Tuesday which would be the 19th.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: The first day
3	is what?
4	MR. HANDZO: The 15th of December.
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
6	MR. HANDZO: Rebuttal findings on
7	the 19th and closing argument on Thursday, the
8	21st and I should also add that Mr. Joseph
9	agrees with two-thirds of that proposal. He
10	agrees that we should submit findings of fact
11	on the 15th and have closing argument on the
12	21st. He's less enthusiastic about the notion
13	of rebuttal findings.
14	MR. JOSEPH: His views speaking
15	for himself, Your Honor, being that the
16	parties and the Court would be just as well
17	served if we take that time to prepare for the
18	closing and deliver any response that we have
19	in the written findings and orally in the
20	closing.
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
22	We will try to let you know something early

	•
1	next week on those days.
2	MR. HANDZO: Very well. Thank
3	you.
4	MR. JOSEPH: Thank you.
5	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo,
6	how are we going to organize so everybody can
7	see the screen?
8	MR. HANDZO: I think what I'm
9	going to do, Your Honor, since we appear to be
10	having technical problems at the moment, is I
11	will probably just play what I'm going to play
12	at the very of Mr. Griffin's examination, so
13	perhaps what we can do is if we haven't
14	already gotten to a break, take a break, we'll
15	set it up. For right now, I can just take the
16	screen down.
17	(Pause.)
18	MR. HANDZO: SoundExchange calls
19	James Griffin as its next witness.
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Griffin,
21	if you will raise your right hand, please.
22	WHEREUPON,

1	JAMES GRIFFIN
2	WAS CALLED FOR EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
3	SOUND EXCHANGE AND, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY
4	SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. HANDZO:
7	Q For the record, Mr. Griffin, would
8	you just tell us your name?
9	A James Hazen Griffin.
10	Q Mr. Griffin, could you remind the
11	Board what it is you do for a living?
12	A I run a company called One House
13	LLC and I'm a media technologist and that's
14	what the firm does.
15	Q You provide consulting services?
16	A I guess you could call them that,
L7	yes. Sometimes it's more than consulting, but
L8	it's all in the field of media technology.
L9	Q What kinds of companies do you
20	consult for or do work for?
21	A Technology companies on the one
22	hand, media companies on the other. We think

1	our prime function is absorbing their
2	uncertainty about one another and bringing
3	them together in business.
4	Q Can you give us a couple of
5	examples of the kinds of companies, technology
6	and media, that you work for?
7	A Sure. On the technology side, an
8	example would be say Nokia or Yamaha. On the
9	media side, say Viacom or Universal Studios or
10	companies like that.
11	Q And you mentioned you absorb their
12	uncertainty. You absorb their uncertainty
13	about what?
14	A About one another, principally.
15	Media companies are very concerned about
16	technology and technology companies are very
17	concerned about media companies and
18	understanding each of them and their interests
19	and the means they use to perform their
20	business is our stock in trade.
21	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, we
22	offered Mr. Griffin last time and he was

1	accepted as an expert in media and technology.
2	I would offer him again without going through
3	the entire voir dire, if that's acceptable.
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
5	to Mr. Griffin being accepted as an expert in
6	media and technology?
7	MR. LARSON: No objection, Your
8	Honor.
9	MR. JOSEPH: Not from me, Your
10	Honor.
11	MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, we do
12	object.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
14	MR. TAYLOR: Again, we don't see
15	any basis upon which that this witness
16	qualifies as an expert to opine on
17	noncommercial broadcasting stations.
18	(Pause.)
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?
20	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, the
21	thrust of what Mr. Griffin is testifying about
22	is, in essence, that there really isn't any

1	difference between the noncommercial stations
2	and the commercial stations on these issues.
3	But I can ask him a few questions to
4	demonstrate his knowledge, if the Court would
5	like.
6	BY MR. HANDZO:
7	Q Mr. Griffin, in the course of your
8	business, is it part of your business to know
9	and to understand what noncommercial stations
10	are doing?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Tell us why.
13	A Well, it's part of the competition
14	for traditional commercial broadcasters. They
15	have to compete for audience and for revenues
16	with what we'll call, I guess we're calling
17	them noncommercial stations here.
18	Q Tell us what you do to keep
L9	abreast of what noncommercial stations are
20	doing?
21	A Well, I worked at a noncommercial
22	station for four years and so I have some

1	background and knowledge that I start with and
2	I continue to monitor the development of those
3	stations and especially the programming that
4	they offer and the manner in which they
5	approach sponsors and advertisers.
6	In my business, I guess they
7	consider me a possible sponsor or advertiser,
8	so they approach me relatively often with
9	offers to sponsor shows. I've participated in
10	programming some shows.
11	Q For example, in the course of your
12	day-to-day work, do you go on websites of
13	noncommercial stations?
14	A Oh, to be sure, yes.
15	Q Do you listen to their streams?
16	A I do.
17	Q Do you look at who they're hiring
18	in their employment ads?
19	A Yes, that's very important to me.
20	Q And have you done shows for them?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Have you been a sponsor?

1	A No, I have not personally. My
2	companies has not sponsored a show yet.
3	Q Have you been approached?
4	A Many times, continuing even this
5	week.
6	Q Do you know some of the people who
7	run noncommercial stations?
8	A I do.
9	Q Give us an example.
10	A Well, for example, I did a show
11	for KCRW, so I've met their staff and know the
12	people who run the station and have regular
13	contact with them in the course of my work.
14	Q KCRW is the noncommercial station
15	located where?
16	A Santa Monica, California, part of
17	Santa Monica College.
18	Q Do you know the owners?
19	A Well, the owners, I guess, you'd
20	say is the college. The person who runs the
21	station is Ruth Seymour with some assistance
22	from her daughter and her able staff of people

1	including David Klienbart and others.
2	Q And have you had occasion to have
3	conversations with those people?
4	A I have.
5	Q You mentioned that you ran a
6	noncommercial station. When was that?
7	A In high school, we had our own
8	traditional FM station. I had to get an FCC
9	license as part of the process and so I got
10	licensed by the FCC and participated fully in
11	the station's affairs and was part of its
12	management for four years.
13	Q And have you ever been involved in
14	starting a station or a channel that would be
15	competing with noncommercial stations?
16	A Sure. In my work at Viacom, for
17	Infinity Radio, we started a station called
18	KYOU that we thought was targeted precisely at
19	that demographic or partly at that
20	demographic.
21	Q And as a result of that
22	experience, did you have to come to understand

1	what it was that the noncommercial stations
2	were doing?
3	A Yes, it's certainly a vital part
4	of the radio dial, increasingly attracting
5	listeners and part of the competition.
6	Q And the activities that you've
7	described, going on their websites, listening
8	to their streams, talking to people, is this
9	sort of day-to-day activity for you?
10	A Yes. It's part of my work as
11	opposed to part of my recreation.
12	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, in
13	response to Mr. Taylor's objection, I think
14	what Mr. Griffin has demonstrated is that he
15	spends a great deal of time looking at these
16	stations because they are part of the
17	competitive landscape that he consults with
18	people on. And so he does have a great deal
19	of knowledge about them and I would ask that
20	the objection be overruled.
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Taylor,
22	any questions?

1	MR. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor.
2	VOIR DIRE
3	BY MR. TAYLOR:
4	Q Good morning, Mr. Griffin.
5	A Good morning.
6	Q Did you include any of this
7	information in your statement, your rebuttal
8	statement?
9	A Which information?
10	Q Any of the information that Mr.
11	Handzo elicited from you this morning?
12	A I might have. I don't think I was
13	supposed to lay out all my qualifications, but
14	I don't think most of this came out in that,
15	no. I don't think it was I didn't think it
16	was in question.
17	Q So, in fact, you did not include
18	any of this information in your rebuttal
19	statement?
20	A Well, no. I don't think it would
21	be fair to say that I did not include any of
22	this information in my rebuttal statement

1	because my rebuttal statement, for example,
2	included some of the job ads I found when I
3	perused those sites and I mentioned just that.
4	So that's one example. I suspect there are
5	more.
6	Q Well, let's turn to what you did
7	say. Isn't it a fact that when you work at
8	the noncommercial radio stations, you were a
9	DJ?
10	A I was a disc jockey?
11	Q Yes.
12	A Which one are you referring to?
13	Q At the noncommercial station that
14	you did identify that you worked at?
15	A You mean KCRW? Is that what
15 16	A You mean KCRW? Is that what you're referring to?
16	you're referring to?
16 17	you're referring to? Q That was the one that you
16 17 18	you're referring to? Q That was the one that you identified, isn't that true?
16 17 18 19	you're referring to? Q That was the one that you identified, isn't that true? A No, I referred to two.

1	why I'm asking you now whether you're
2	referring to the first one or the second one.
3	Q Were you a DJ at KCRW?
4	A I wouldn't say that I ever touched
5	a disc. So saying that I was a disc jockey,
6	I think would be unusual.
7	Q Did you program music at the
8	station?
9	A Did I program music? I don't
10	think I programmed music.
11	Q Did you have any responsibilities
12	for the selection of music that was
13	broadcasted on the station?
14	A Not on the show I did.
15	Q At the station?
16	A No.
17	Q Are any of your clients that you
18	work for today, are they noncommercial radio
19	stations?
20	A No.
21	Q Have you written any articles or
22	noncommercial broadcasting?

1	A No, I don't have any articles on
2	it.
3	Q Have you conducted any studies on
4	noncommercial radio stations?
5	A Studies, no.
6	Q Do you know the revenues of any
7	noncommercial radio stations?
8	A Not offhand.
9	Q Do you know the revenues of NPR
10	member stations?
11	A No, not offhand.
12	Q Do you have any knowledge of the
13	FCC rules relating to commercial underwriting
14	on noncommercial stations?
15	A I have some knowledge related to
16	it.
17	Q Could you describe that?
18	A Well, my understanding is they're
19	not to be traditional commercials on air.
20	Q Okay. Did you review any of the
21	documents NPR produced in this proceeding?
22	A Not that NPR produced.

1	Q Did you review any of the
2	documents that CBI produced?
3	A I don't think so.
4	Q Did you review any documents ever
5	produced by any of the noncommercial entities
6	in this proceeding?
7	A I don't think I'm allowed to
8	review your confidential documents. I think
9	I'm a witness who's not allowed to do that.
10	I think that's an objection from your side.
11	It's not that I would not be interested in
12	reviewing these documents.
13	Q You didn't sign a certificate
14	pertaining to the protective order in this
15	proceeding?
16	A I might have signed a certificate,
17	but I was told that there was an objection
18	raised to my being a protected witness and
19	that I did not have access to those materials.
20	Q So nevertheless, you're not
21	familiarized with any of the actual documents
22	produced in this proceeding?

1	A I'd very much like to be, but no,
2	they're not accessible to me.
3	Q And do you know the demographics
4	of any NPR stations?
5	A Well, sure. I'm in the
6	demographic of some of the stations and it
7	seems quite obvious and furthermore, in
8	reading some of the materials, for example,
9	underwriting materials and so forth.
10	Q Mr. Griffin, didn't you say during
11	your deposition when asked "have you ever seen
12	any demographics of any NPR stations or their
13	listening audience?" and you said "no, none
14	that I recall at the moment."
15	A Right, I didn't recall any at that
16	moment.
17	Q And do you recall any now?
18	A Sure.
19	Q Have you done something to
20	familiarize yourself to remember that?
21	A I reviewed my exhibits.
22	Q And what specific study or data

discussing the composition of public radio's 1 audience have you reviewed? 2 3 Α For example, WAMU has some underwriting materials that highlight their 4 demographic. NPR has a digital blueprint for 5 6 future. Those are included in 7 exhibits. Your Honor, at this 8 MR. TAYLOR: 9 time I appreciate his responses to both Mr. 10 Handzo and me. I would remind the Board that, 11 in fact, this information wasn't presented to us in his rebuttal statement or his direct 12 13 testimony and under the regulations, parties 14 do have a responsibility to proffer the qualifications or résumé of the individual 15 witnesses and to that end, I think that a 16 17 significant amount of surprise that we have 18 before us for the first time learning of Mr. 19 Griffin's experience with noncommercial 20 broadcasting. 21 will CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Wе

recess for about three or four minutes.

1	(Off the record.)
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
3	We'll come back to order.
4	After consideration of the Board,
5	our questions, it's not at all clear to the
6	Board what the answer is on Mr. Griffin's
7	expertise in noncommercial and we'll defer
8	that ruling until a specific question is
9	raised on requiring an expert opinion.
10	MR. HANDZO: Very well. Thank
11	you.
12	BY MR. HANDZO:
13	Q Mr. Griffin, you have a notebook
14	in front of you?
15	A I do.
16	Q Let me just ask you to take a look
17	at the first item in there and identify that
18	for us, please?
19	A I wrote this. This is my written
20	rebuttal testimony.
21	Q And is that your signature at the
22	end?

1	A Yes, it is.
2	Q Now on page 2 of your written
3	testimony, Mr. Griffin, you have a heading
4	there that says "digital convergence
5	stimulates webcasting." Do you see that?
6	A Yes, I do.
7	Q Can you summarize for us what the
8	point you were trying to make in that section?
9	A Well, to summarize it, there are -
10	- there's an audience and there are many
11	different forms of media and companies that
12	are going after it and there's a convergence
13	in their interests in the sense that even the
14	smallest, what you would use to call a
15	broadcaster now can webcast and reach the
16	largest global audience, as an example, and
17	compete with the largest digital media
18	companies, for example, Microsoft, AOL, Yahoo,
19	Clear Channel, faces competition from say a
20	noncommercial broadcaster, faces competition
21	from someone who starts a business.
22	MR. TAYLOR: I object on the

grounds that Mr. Griffin purports to represent 1 what a noncommercial broadcaster is thinking 2 and that they are, in fact, competing with any 3 other media company. I don't think that he is 4 qualified to opine on what they may, in fact, 5 6 have in their minds with respect to who their 7 competition is. Overruled. 8 JUDGE SLEDGE: CHIEF 9 That's a That's not an expert question. 10 matter of fact known to the general public. 11 BY MR. HANDZO: 12 Now Mr. Griffin, just Q so we're 13 clear, the phrase digital when you use 14 convergence, what do you mean? 15 Α What Ι mean is that where 16 previously we might have separated the 17 interest of these many companies, based on, 18 for example, geography, for example, the small 19 noncommercial radio station with which I was 20 involved had a 10 watt transmitter. And so 21 our interests were those who could hear a 10

watt transmitter, meaning our small community.

When that station moves to the 1 it can reach a much larger community. 2 That would be an example of a converged 3 4 interest, instead of focusing on a very small group, now that station could go after a much 5 larger group that might previously have only 6 7 been available to those who were exclusively say webcasters like Microsoft, AOL, Yahoo, 8 9 offer their content could to global audience, whereas this little radio station 10 11 might not have been able to do it in the past. 12 And so their interests have Now they can. 13 converged upon a global audience. 14 if I'm understanding Q So you 15 correctly, there's now a sort of digital or 16 global audience out there that everybody gets 17 to compete for? That's right. And their 18 Α Yes. 19 interests have converged to reach all of these 20 people. And the folks who are competing 21 0 22 for that audience would include traditional

internet-only webcasters?

webcasters. It would include simulcasters. It really, to be honest, it could include a couple of 14-year-olds in a garage who decided they wanted to go after that same audience and I'm not pulling that out of old cloth. I mean those are precisely some of the companies you have to compete with today.

Q What about noncommercial stations?

A Noncommercial stations reach out to the same audience. Their signal is available. They seek sponsorships, regardless of geography. They are reaching out to the same audience.

O Now --

MR. TAYLOR: Again, Your Honor, we do know that, in fact, Mr. Griffin has at one time in the past, in the far distant past, worked for a noncommercial station. He has discussed clearly that his clients today are not noncommercial stations and he just

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

of what proffered a significant amount 1 noncommercial broadcasters are engaging in as 2 3 far as competition, as far as what their business models may or may not be. 4 The question 5 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: 6 of whether a noncommercial station is reaching 7 the same audience or reaching for the same audience is again not an expert opinion. 8 9 is something that everyone listening to 10 streaming or radio would observe. Objection 11 overruled. 12 BY MR. HANDZO: the different 13 Q Griffin, of Mr. 14 groups that you just talked about, internet-15 only webcasters and broadcast simulcasters and 16 noncommercial, let me focus your attention for 17 the next set of questions on the broadcast 18 simulcasters, okay? 19 Α Yes. 20 With respect to that group, what 0 21 do you see them doing that suggests that their 22 business model is converging with that of the

traditional internet-only webcasters? 1 I mean the first example 2 Α 3 would be that their webcasting as opposed to purely being a broadcaster and so that brings 4 5 them into roughly the same domain. They are now seeking sponsorships 6 7 from many of the same places that go out and 8 advertising and sponsorships. For get 9 example, Clear Channel has signed up with 10 Ronning Lipset which traditionally represented 11 includes broadcast webcasters, now а 12 simulcaster. That would be an example of 13 employing those same means for reaching out 14 for money. 15 Do you see the broadcasters trying to grow their on-line audience? 16 17 Without question, yes. They are Α 18 their on-line trying to grow audience, 19 significantly so. They're advertising for online audience, telling people the advantages 20 21 of listening to the station on line, for

example, would be something they're doing with

1	their advertising. And they're spending
2	significant sums to advertise to get that
3	point across to the public.
4	Q I think you mentioned in your
5	testimony some of that advertising. Is there
6	television advertising included in that?
7	A Sure. That would be an example,
8	would be advertising on television and
9	pointing out that you can listen to the
10	station at work, which the broadcast signal
11	might not be able to reach. Many people work
12	in buildings where a terrestrial broadcast
13	signal does not reach and this advantages the
14	webcast delivery of the content because it can
15	often reach inside that building, inside the
16	steel and the bricks.
17	Q Have you actually seen
18	broadcasters who are advertising on television
19	their webcasting capability?
20	A Oh, yes. And not only in the
21	Washington area, but in others.
22	O But do you know what let me

1	withdraw that question.
2	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, we do
3	have an example of that ad. I'm having
4	technical difficulties at the moment, so I'll
5	just play that at the end if we can.
6	BY MR. HANDZO:
7	Q Do you know which broadcasting
8	companies run television ads for their
9	webcasting?
10	A I seem to recall WASH runs some
11	ads, and KOIT runs some ads like this. They
12	are examples.
13	Q Now in terms of the number of
14	channels that broadcasters are streaming, are
15	you seeing any changes there?
16	A Well, yes. They'd like to be able
17	to offer more channels and niche channels in
18	particular. And so they're beginning to use
19	webcasting to experiment, both at offering new
20	channels and in keeping old channels alive
21	that might otherwise have died.
22	T think probably T could

1	characterize that as three kinds of content
2	they are using the web for, which would be
3	things that would otherwise have disappeared,
4	new things they haven't yet fully tested or
5	that they want to see how it is going. And
6	thirdly, unusual things that might not
7	otherwise lend themselves to broadcast.
8	Q So is it necessarily the case
9	today that a broadcaster is simply
10	rebroadcasting its terrestrial single over the
11	internet?
12	A To be sure, they are not. I mean,
13	of course, there may be some that are doing
14	just that, but those who would be doing that
15	I think would be atypical in my experience.
16	Q Does that start to make them look
17	more like internet-only webcasters?
18	A It converges their interests. I
19	mean, the key here is that that is where the
20	growth is. So that is why they are moving
21	into this area. Multiple singles suggests
22	increasing audience, the ability to reach out

1	beyond one's transmitter, again, is growth.
2	And the market, which owns these companies,
3	the public markets want growth. And so growth
4	it is that they get in using this new medium.
5	Q And do you understand the term
6	side channels?
7	A Sure.
8	Q What is side channel?
9	A Well, a side channel could be used
LO	in several different ways. If you have a
l1	primary transmitter that now has an HD
L2	capability, you can add additional channels to
L3	your broadcast signal. And likewise, you put
L4	your primary single on the web, you can add
L5	additional channels to your primary channel.
L6	So let's say someone is accustomed
L7	to hearing you on the radio, through the radio
L8	in the car or in the home or whatever. And
L9	they come to your website, they could find
20	that you have more than just that signal
21	available for them to listen to. On the web,
Ę	

they could listen a very niched music genre,

or something different than you would otherwise hear and in multiple ways.

Q And you've already touched on this to some degree, but when webcast simulcasters offer side channels over the web with different programming, why are they doing that? What's the advantage?

Well, again, I think there are Α three primary reasons that are there. is, to keep content that might otherwise go away alive. I'll illustrate that by pointing out that WHFS was a very popular radio station in the Washington market, but its audience was decidedly niche. Again, not unprofitable, but niche, you know. It was group that supported concerts and other profitable affairs for the station, but it became more attractive to switch that transmitter to broadcast an Hispanic genre that's now called El Zol. But the company didn't want to leave the profits behind that came from the concerts and from the sponsors for that station.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

they kept that station alive 1 2 internet. 3 Another example would be trying a 4 new station that you hadn't otherwise had, 5 that you think well, maybe this will work. 6 And so you come up with a genre or a niche and 7 you bring that station on line and see what kind of an audience it attracts. 8 9 And of course, there might be 10 unusual content that you could not otherwise 11 try out. And so you will try it out on your 12 webcast, with the thought that perhaps later 13 you will move it to one of your transmitters 14 or one of your side channels on one of your HD 15 transmitters. 16 Are you seeing any changes with 0 17 respect to the programming by broadcasters that makes them look more like the internet-18 19 only webcasters? 20 Α Sure, they are in many 21 experimenting in ways that they would not have 22 previously and trying new approaches to how

1	many advertisements they take in. In some
2	cases, taking in no advertisements at the
3	beginning in order to grow the audience and to
4	get the ball rolling. So changes in
5	monitorization, changes in programming, I've
6	seen both.
7	Q Are you familiar with a type of
8	programming called Jack?
9	A Oh, sure. I mean, that's a format
10	that was evolved and adopted largely as an
11	attempt to retain the audience that the iPod
12	is seen as taking away from broadcast.
13	Q Tell us what Jack programming is?
14	A Well, Jack is a generic or it's a
15	brand name, rather. It's not a generic,
16	because the company that offers the Jack logo
17	and name and programming format defends it as
18	vigorously as it can. So they call it Jack.
19	And it refers to a kind of programming that I
20	think would be fair to characterize as an iPod
21	on shuffle. Other people call it a train
22	wreck of music formats, but it's the notion

1	that you might hear U2 and then hear Tony
2	Bennett or Frank Sinatra. It replicates some
3	of the experience you might get if you had an
4	iPod and put it on shuffle. It actually was
5	quite successful in drawing increased numbers
6	of the stations that have used it. So more
7	stations have adopted it.
8	Q And does Jack programming have
9	much in the way of DJ talk?
10	A Less DJ. Much less DJ. Again,
11	approximating an iPod on shuffle is the
12	general notion of going to a Jack format for
13	a station.
14	Q And you've described it as
15	approximating an iPod on shuffle. Does it
16	also approximate what the traditional
17	internet-only webcasters do?
18	A It gets closer to what an
19	internet- only webcaster does. Yes.
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo,
21	iPod on shuffle means nothing to me.
22	BY MR. HANDZO:

1	Q Let me ask Mr. Griffin to explain
2	first, what an iPod is and then what being on
3	shuffle means.
4	A iPod being a small bit-bucket or a
5	hard drive or a flash memory. They make them
6	in each kind flash memory, hard drive. It
7	holds digital music, plays it back through a
8	pair of headphones or a speaker or through
9	your car or through your home stereo. And
LO	because it's organized as data on a hard drive
L1	or in memory, as opposed to different discs
.2	and so forth, one of its advantages is that
L3	you can put it into shuffle mode, meaning you
L4	pick the songs at random. The songs are
L5	chosen at random from the songs that you put
L6	into its memory.
L7	Q Let me do this in a little less
L8	technical fashion.
L9	A Sure.
20	Q Is it right than an iPod is a
21	small, handhold device that you can download
22	music to?

1	A Right, some of them are smaller
2	than your hand, by the way. They're so small
3	that they've gotten down to several inches
4	across and can hold, say, 1,000 songs.
5	Q Okay, so you can download 1,000
6	songs to it to replay?
7	A That's right.
8	Q Okay, and carry it around with you
9	wherever you go?
10	A That's right.
11	Q And they're fairly popular?
12	A I would say it's the hottest item
L3	right now for this holiday season is the
L4	newest, tiniest iPod. So it's not just
L5	popular, it's considered one of the hottest
16	items and has propelled Apple's website into
L7	the top 10 of commerce sites.
L8	Q Okay, now if I own an iPod and I
L9	put it on shuffle. What does that mean?
20	A The iPod will choose your songs.
21	iPod not having any judgment or choice, it's
22	purely random when it does that. So again,

1	any song could be played before or after any
2	other song.
3	Q So if I've got 1,000 songs in my
4	iPod, instead of playing them in order 1, 2,
5	3, it will just randomly select from my 1,000?
6	A That's right. That's the idea.
7	MR. HANDZO: Does that help,
8	Judge?
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes, sir.
10	Hard to imagine somebody recording on an iPod
11	having much variety among their songs, though.
12	I understand what he says.
13	MR. HANDZO: You'd be surprised.
14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I'm
15	surprised.
16	(Laughter.)
17	That's an understatement.
18	BY MR. HANDZO:
19	Q One of the other things that you
20	mentioned, Mr. Griffin, in terms of the
21	convergence between broadcasters and internet-
22	only webcasters is the way that they sell

advertising. Can you just elaborate on that 1 a little bit? 2 Well, internet-only webcasters for 3 awhile were working with Ronning Lipset, but 4 now broadcasters have joined them in pooling 5 their, what we'll call, inventory, their 6 7 advertising inventory to be sold together with 8 the others. It's, I think, a recognition that 9 it's largely the same audience of sponsors and 10 advertisers that they're going after. I think 11 also very importantly is that they want to be 12 part and parcel in developing new approaches 13 to narrowcasting that advertising in the 14 future. 15 Q Just so we keep our terms clear, 16 what do you mean by narrowcasting? 17 The idea being that when you're a 18 broadcaster, when you're using your 19 transmitter, everyone hears the same ad at the 20 same time and the same content. But when you 21 are webcasting, because you are delivering an

individual steam to each listener, there is

the possibility, it's not just a possibility, 1 it's the reality that you can now deliver 2 something different. 3 And so, for example, we would say 4 5 Pandora is narrowcaster, because they а deliver a different signal to each listener. 6 7 The notion that advertising could similarly be targeted is considered a prime way to raise 8 9 its value. broadcasters 10 the 0 Do you see 11 increasing the number of stations or channels 12 that they stream? 13 Α Not only Yes. are more 14 broadcasters going on line and streaming, 15 that's been a decision that's been made by big some of which whom I've been 16 companies, 17 associated, but they are adding additional 18 channels, as we'll call them, not building 19 They're not a transmitter and stations. 20 antenna and new license and so forth. But if 21 you've got a new programming idea, it's very

easy to try and put it on line.

1	Q I think you have some statistics
2	in your testimony about the degree to which
3	some of the companies have increased their
4	streaming and you refer to Clear Channel, for
5	example, and I won't give you a memory test.
6	Do you recall what the numbers are?
7	A Over 400 percent as regards Clear
8	Channel is my recollection.
9	Q In terms of the amount of
LO	streaming that they're doing?
L1	A Yes, that's right.
L2	Q And over 400 percent during what
L3	time, do you recall?
L4	A I don't recall the time period,
L5	exactly, to be honest with you, but it's a
L6	relatively short period of time.
L7	Q Let me just refresh your
L8	recollection. Why don't you turn to page 8 of
L9	your testimony?
20	(Pause.)
21	And if you look down there at the
22	bottom paragraph, do you see that?

1	A Let me just look here on page 8.
2	Four hundred twenty-one percent between April
3	2005 and May 2006, or bringing it to near
4	parity with AOL Radio.
5	Q And we're talking about Clear
6	Channel here?
7	A That's right.
8	Q Let me ask you to take a look at
9	Exhibit 224 attached to your statement.
10	A I have it in front of me.
11	Q And again
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Excuse me,
13	Mr. Handzo, I haven't found that.
14	MR. HANDZO: My apologies, Your
15	Honor. It is there are a series of
16	indented paragraphs at the bottom of page
17	eight and in the very last paragraph you see
18	the it says "in terms of format"?
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you. I
20	just overlooked it.
21	BY MR. HANDZO:
22	Q Mr. Griffin, looking at Exhibit

1	224, what is that?
2	A This appears to me to be a press
3	release that comes from CBS Radio, indicated
4	that KROC FM which is perhaps one of their
5	greatest brands is now going on line on
6	February 6th of 2006.
7	Q And if you look down at the fourth
8	paragraph.
9	A Yes.
10	Q What does that tell you about what
11	CBS is doing with respect to its streaming?
12	A It tells me what I already knew,
13	which is that it's a major priority for CBS
14	Radio.
15	Q And looking at, I guess, the
16	paragraph above that?
17	A Yes. It says at this point, when
18	this press release came out that that brought
19	their total number of stations to 50 and that
20	10 of those are exclusively available on the
21	web.
22	Q And in terms of we talked now

about Clear Channel increasing its streaming,
CBS increasing its streaming. Are those two
companies unusual in what they're doing in the
broadcasting area?
A No, they're not unique.
Q Are other broadcasters doing the
same thing?
A ABC is there. Many other
stations. And I hear regularly from
broadcasters who want to do just the same
thing that they're doing.
Q Now from your perspective as an
observer of this industry, what are the
benefits that the broadcasters get by
increasing their streaming operations and
advertising and so on?
A It grows their potential audience,
grows their sponsor base. These are critical
to them.
Q And why is that growth critical?
A Their revenues depend upon it.
11

profitable, of course, and the public markets 1 shareholders, it's 2 many of their being important for them not just to see profit, but 3 4 to see growth. And this new market and bigger 5 especially digital markets markets and 6 represent growth to these companies. And 7 that's very important to them. Is this just an issue of growing 8 0 the revenues or are there also issues relating 9 10 to who they're competing with? 11 Well, I mean those two go hand in Α 12 I mean when you can compete with more 13 people, you have a greater potential for 14 revenue because you can get their sponsors 15 too. So they see, for example, traditional 16 internet-only webcasters as gleaning revenues 17 that they'd like to get. They see 18 noncommercial broadcasters and webcasters 19 going after money that they themselves would 20 like to claim. And is the converse also true, not 21 Q 22 only might you get that revenues your

1	competitor is getting, but you might lose
2	revenues to your competitors if you don't get
3	in the space?
4	A Oh, to be sure. I mean that is
5	what they have been seeing is that all of
6	their money is up for grabs to people that
7	they may have never met and they didn't even
8	know were in their market. But all of a
9	sudden, they are because there is what we call
10	a death of distance on the Internet, distances
11	far less relevant when you're on the Internet.
12	Q Does webcasting their signal offer
13	any benefits to broadcasters in terms of what
14	audience they can reach and where they can
15	reach them?
16	A Well, sure. It says they can
17	reach them anywhere that you can get digits.
18	Q And is that the case with a
19	terrestrial signal?
20	A Well, certainly not. A
21	terrestrial signal is limited and partly
22	that's the reason we have an FCC that sort of

figures out these divisions and so forth and 1 allocates transmitter power and the times that 2 3 that transmitter can run, for example, night versus day, changes propagation of the signal 4 into more and different markets. And so the 5 FCC regulates that. But on the net, there is 6 not FCC to regulate it and that's largely 7 unnecessary because there is no 8 9 contention and you can reach out and go after 10 any audience you want in the world, as long as 11 they get digits. 12 Q Leaving aside the sort of 13 geographic limitations of a broadcast signal 14 in terms of the whole area it can reach, are 15 there places where a broadcast signal tends 16 not to penetrate? 17 Т within the Α Sure. mean 18 geographic area of your transmitter, you can't 19 reach past places that block radio signals. 20 Concrete, steel, amongst others, these have 21 tendency to diminish broadcast signals

dramatically to the point where they cannot be

1	heard.
2	Q So what about people listening at
3	work?
4	A People listening at work are a
5	prime audience for webcasters because again,
6	they cannot listen to their AM-FM radio if
7	they are within a barrier, a steel or a
8	concrete barrier that blocks the transmission.
9	That happens frequently.
10	It happens so frequently that
11	broadcasters will advertise on the television
12	that uniquely you can hear us at work.
13	Q Is that an audience that
14	broadcasters are trying to reach through
15	webcasting?
16	A Oh yes. I mean it's not just me
17	saying that. They say that. I mean I quote
18	in my testimony the CFO at CBS Radio saying
19	that this is a very important part of our
20	strategy is reaching people at work. So it's
21	not just me saying it. They say it too and
22	they're clear about that.

1	Q Again, not to have a memory test
2	here, but do you also recall comments by Evan
3	Harrison from Clear Channel?
4	A Evan Harrison has been even bolder
5	than a CFO from CBS would be in saying that
6	you're not in the game if you're not
7	streaming. That as far as they're concerned
8	here, you're not even participating in the
9	same business that they are. I would add
10	they're not just a webcaster or a broadcaster.
11	They're the biggest broadcaster by far.
12	Q Let me turn your attention not to
13	noncommercial broadcasters and webcasters. On
14	page 12 of your testimony, if you can flip to
15	that
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Taylor,
17	we will wait for the question.
18	BY MR. HANDZO:
19	Q You will see that in the first
20	sentence under Section C you say
21	"noncommercial webcasters are also acting
22	increasingly like their commercial brethren."

1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Now with respect to that subject,
4	in terms of how they raise money or well,
5	how they raise money, do you see similarities
6	there?
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Taylor?
8	MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, if I may,
9	I object to this witness testifying with
10	respect to the noncommercial stations and
11	their revenues.
12	I mean quite simply he's not a
13	fact witness. He has not been proffered by
14	NPR or any of the noncommercial stations. For
15	that matter, he hasn't even been proffered by
16	a station that considers itself in competition
17	with NPR or any of the noncom.
18	Instead, he would simply give the
19	Court his opinion, based on observations that
20	he has made. That opinion, anybody in this
21	courtroom could agree with or disagree with.
22	So in the absence of some factual basis or

1	some basis as an expert, he is simply, I
2	believe, unable to tell this Court what NPR's
3	revenues are, how NPR may be engaged in
4	earning those revenues, how NPR or its
5	audience, how NPR plans to reach of its
6	audiences, or for that matter how they plan on
7	using revenues to fund their station
8	operations.
9	So again, I renew my objection. I
10	apologize that I once again must pop up and
11	object.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That
13	objection is similar to what we've addressed
14	before and does not address the specific
15	question and is overruled.
16	The specific question is does
17	noncommercial stations raise revenues similar
18	to broadcasters and that does not call for an
19	expert opinion. And one little thing further
	expert opinion. And one fittle thing further
20	about how he's testifying, the best I can tell

testimony. Everything else he has said has

1	been as a fact witness. And so we'll see if
2	and when he gets to a point of giving an
3	expert opinion, we'll deal with his expertise.
4	Mr. Joseph?
5	MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, I
6	understood Mr. Taylor's objection to relate to
7	expert opinion. I'll object on those grounds
8	of lack of foundation.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
10	BY MR. HANDZO:
11	Q Mr. Griffin, do you see
12	noncommercial stations raising money in the
	lioncommercial beactons larbing money in the
13	same way that commercial stations do?
13	same way that commercial stations do?
13 14	same way that commercial stations do? A Yes, I do.
13 14 15	same way that commercial stations do? A Yes, I do. Q And describe how they go about
13 14 15 16	same way that commercial stations do? A Yes, I do. Q And describe how they go about that?
13 14 15 16 17	same way that commercial stations do? A Yes, I do. Q And describe how they go about that? A Well, an example would be that I
13 14 15 16 17 18	same way that commercial stations do? A Yes, I do. Q And describe how they go about that? A Well, an example would be that I regularly hear from them in raising revenues
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	same way that commercial stations do? A Yes, I do. Q And describe how they go about that? A Well, an example would be that I regularly hear from them in raising revenues from me. And so that's one place where I can

1	interesting example for here because while
2	that case KCRW in Southern California when my
3	business was in Southern California and most
4	of my clients, many of them, more of them were
5	in Southern California, now I've moved across
6	the country. KCRW still solicits me to
7	purchase sponsorships from them because as
8	they put it well, your move to Washington
9	really doesn't matter to us. Our audience is
10	in Washington too. That would be just one
11	example I would cite off the top of my head.
12	Q Before you move on, let me just
13	MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, I object.
14	I move to strike the witness' testimony there.
15	None of that is in his testimony. Him KCRW
16	soliciting him to this day.
17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?
18	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, he is
19	talking generally about how they raise money
20	and sell sponsorships. I frankly don't recall
21	whether he specifically said that they
22	solicited him, but certainly the subject

1	
1	matter of how they raised revenue by selling
2	sponsorships is covered in a number of places
3	in his testimony.
4	(Pause.)
5	MR. HANDZO: On page 15, for
6	example, he says "Further, NPR sells its
7	audiences to underwriters and sponsors the
8	same way the commercial webcasters do to their
9	advertisers."
10	(Pause.)
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
12	THE WITNESS: Can I go on?
13	MR. HANDZO: Yes.
14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: No, you
15	finish your
16	BY MR. HANDZO:
17	Q Okay, let me come back to your
18	answer, with respect to the purchases that
19	have been to you to buy a sponsorship, what is
20	it that I take it that what you would do as
21	your part of the bargain is you would give
22	them money?

1	A Yes, that's right.
2	Q And what would you get in return?
3	A Mention on the air or a billboard
4	at a concert or they would arrange to have the
5	name of my company or what I wanted promoted
6	to be used in their media that they present.
7	Q And is there a specific amount
8	that you would have to give them in order to
9	get that kind of mention?
10	A As much as possible. I mean to
11	get more mentions and so forth, I mean that's
12	one example I have from personal experience.
13	It could go further with other examples.
14	Q Well, before we do that, let me
15	ask you to take a look at Exhibit 214 to your
16	testimony.
17	A I see it.
18	Q And tell us, if you would, what
19	that is?
20	A This is from WXPN which is a
21	public station in Philadelphia. It's from
22	their website.

1	Q And what are they doing on this
2	webpage?
3	A They're laying out the demographic
4	that they believe their station has. In other
5	words, here's how we characterize our
6	listeners. They're more affluent. Their age
7	is young and they're well educated.
8	Q Is that information of interest to
9	advertisers or sponsors?
10	A That's precisely one of the things
11	that an advertiser or a sponsor would be
12	looking for.
13	Q And is that why this information
14	is here?
15	A Sure.
16	Q Now you notice there's a bullet
17	point where it says "Public radio offers
18	unique benefits"?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And then it says "Eighty-eight
21	percent of public radio listeners have a
22	higher opinion of a company when they find out

1	it supports public radio"?
2	A Yes. They're making it clear that
3	when they hear your name from us, that it will
4	mean more than it would say from someone else.
5	Q And the next bullet point, "Eighty
6	percent believe that when price and quality
7	are equal, they prefer to buy products from
8	companies, businesses and organizations that
9	support public radio?"
10	A Same point. They'll think more
11	favorably of you if you give us your money
12	than if you gave it to our competitor.
13	Q I take it this is basically a
14	pitch to companies that they should buy
15	sponsorships or underwritings to get their
16	name associated with public radio?
17	MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's right.
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Taylor?
20	MR. TAYLOR: I stood up to object
21	to the point that he that with respect to this
22	exhibit that he was interpreting what NPR

1	sought to communicate. I mean if he wants to
2	discuss the document or read the document
3	that's fine, but to the extent that he's going
4	to offer an opinion for what, in fact, is
5	communicated by that point or what NPR
6	intended to communicate, it's outside of his
7	statement and moreover, it's again something
8	that I think an expert could tell us, but this
9	witness can't tell us, in fact, what they
10	intended to communicate.
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: The objection
12	characterizes the testimony broader than it
13	is. The objection is overruled. The witness
14	has said that the has paraphrased what the
15	exhibit shows and then has given the expert
16	opinion that this is important to advertisers.
17	And that is not within the context of your
18	objection to expert opinion as to
19	noncommercial stations.
20	MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Your
21	Honor.
22	BY MR. HANDZO:

1	Q Mr. Griffin, along the same lines,
2	can you take a look at Exhibit 202 of your
3	testimony?
4	A Yes, I'm looking at it now.
5	Q What is that?
6	A American University here in
7	Washington has a noncommercial station, WAMU.
8	And this is a corporate underwriting kit from
9	WAMU, indicating the programming that WAMU
10	offers and the audience to which they offer
11	it, both as to geography and number and
12	characteristics of that audience and along
13	with some testimonials from that audience
14	about the effectiveness of advertising or
15	sponsoring shows on WAMU.
16	Q So again, would this be
17	information that's of interest to an
18	advertiser?
19	A Well, sure, that's why it's called
20	an underwriting kit.
21	Q Mr. Griffin, at the outside of
22	your testimony I asked you some questions

1	about what you do to sort of follow the
2	activities of noncommercial stations and one
3	of the things you said was you actually look
4	at their employment ads, who they're hiring?
5	A Sure, it's one of the ways that I
6	figure out what companies are doing.
7	Q And have you seen advertising by
8	NPR or other noncommercial stations to hire
9	people to pursue these kinds of advertising
10	revenues?
11	A Sure, NPR conveniently aggregates
12	those ads on its website.
13	Q Let's take a look at one of those
14	aggregation of ads. I think it's Exhibit 234
15	to your testimony.
16	A Let me fine that, 234. Okay, I
17	have that.
18	Q Why don't you turn, if you would,
19	to page 12 of that exhibit?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And if you look at the ad for a
22	manager of corporate sponsorship, do you see

1	that?
2	A Yes, I do.
3	Q What do you understand the nature
4	of the job that they're advertising for there
5	to be?
6	A Somebody to get money from
7	companies for NPR.
8	Q Any difference that you can see
9	between the qualifications they want for that
10	job and what a commercial station would want
11	for somebody to bring in advertising revenues?
12	A No. In fact, they make it clear
13	in the ad that that's what they want is
14	somebody with experience doing that, not
15	somebody who might be able to do that, but
16	someone, as it says here with a proven track
17	record of doing that.
18	Q So somebody with experience on the
19	commercial side?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Have you seen other similar ads
22	like this for NPR?

1	A Yes, and in fact, this ad is
2	couched in the number of similar ads, for
3	example, you know the ad that follows is for
4	a national representative for corporate
5	sponsorship.
6	Q Is that the one that says you need
7	a minimum five years' experience in national
8	media sales and national media planning?
9	A Yes, it's clear. It's sales and
LO	advertising agencies.
L1	Q Again, any difference between that
L2	and what a commercial station would want?
L3	A In fact, I think there's no
L4	difference. They want someone who did do
L5	that.
L6	Q Now with respect to raising money
L7	by selling sponsorships or underwriting or
L8	advertising or whatever you want to call it,
L9	do you have an understanding of how music fits
20	in for noncommercial stations as part of that
21	effort?
,	

Sure, it draws the crowd.

Α

How do you know that? 1 0 Well, they play a lot of music in 2 Α 3 order to grow the number of people who are listening and my conversations, they say, you 4 5 know, we want the right mix of music. KCRW, 6 in particular, prides itself on using its 7 unique music selections in order to draw the crowd that it draws. I think that's one good 8 9 I mean the Philadelphia station example. 10 clearly picked up a popular commercial station 11 that had fallen by the wayside and adopted it 12 as its own side channel. So it's not just 13 what I think about them. I think their own 14 actions support what I'm saying. They use 15 music to draw a crowd and if they can, more 16 signals of music. 17 Further to that point --Q 18 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Just а 19 moment, Mr. Taylor? 20 MR. TAYLOR: Maybe Mr. Handzo can 21 help me out, but I don't know where Mr. 22 Griffin has anywhere that they use music to

1	draw a crowd in his testimony.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo,
3	it seems that the testimony of Mr. Griffin is
4	giving right now sounds more like direct
5	testimony than his rebuttal testimony. I
6	trust that you're going to move shortly to
7	rebuttal testimony?
8	MR. HANDZO: I actually only have
9	a few more questions along these lines, Your
10	Honor.
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
12	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, with
13	respect to using music as part of the way to
14	draw a crowd, I would direct the Court's
15	attention to page 16 of Mr. Griffin's
16	testimony.
17	And there is talks about NPR's
18	initiative to grow its audience, one of the
19	three vital alternatives being a multi-genre
20	digital music service.
21	It also says "NPR's digital
22	distribution efforts including music are

1	central to its fundraising prospects." It
2	goes on for another couple of paragraphs.
3	(Pause.)
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
5	BY MR. HANDZO:
6	Q Mr. Griffin, since we're at that
7	part of your testimony, let me ask you to turn
8	to NPR's Blueprint for Growth which I believe
9	Exhibit 231 to your testimony.
10	A I have it in front of me.
11	Q And looking in particular at let's
12	say the third page of this document, bottom
13	paragraph, does that support your view that
14	public radio stations like NPR use music to
15	draw the crowd?
16	A Sure. It's very clear. Not just
17	the crowd, but the same crowd that others are
18	attempting to reach.
19	Q Let me ask you to flip a few more
20	pages. I think it's the sixth page. Sixth
21	page where the NPR's "Blueprint for Growth"
22	describes a new music service. Do you see

1	that?
2	A I do. The last paragraph on the
3	page.
4	Q And again, does that support your
5	view that they're using music to draw the
6	crowd?
7	A Well, sure. They call it the
8	poster child. So I think use of the word
9	"poster" would indicate draw a crowd.
10	Q Do you know if NPR, in fact, has
11	plans to offer a new digital music service?
12	A I believe they do. I don't
13	personally have direct knowledge, but
14	reviewing these documents, I believe they are.
15	Q Well, when we talked about these
16	documents, let's talk about one in particular.
17	Let me ask you to go to Exhibit 212.
18	A Yes.
19	Q Does that
20	A Sure, it's a press release from
21	NPR.
22	Q About what?

1	A Saying they're developing a new
2	digital music service. That's their claim.
3	Q And do you see reference there in
4	the third paragraph to the importance of music
5	to NPR?
6	A Sure. They call it a cornerstone.
7	Q Just one other question on this
8	topic of public radio stations, Mr. Griffin.
9	You mentioned earlier that commercial stations
10	are starting to offer side channels or
11	additional channels besides their terrestrial
12	radio program. Do commercial stations do the
13	same? I'm sorry, noncommercial stations do
14	the same?
15	A Yes, in fact, they do. I mean one
16	of the examples I gave earlier of XPN in
17	Philadelphia adding Y100, we could similarly
18	point to KCRW and I believe it has three side
19	channels in addition to its primary signal.
20	Q Mr. Griffin, let me turn to a
21	different topic which is the second part of
22	your testimony, it discusses wireless

1	technology?
2	A Yes.
3	Q I have a few questions for you
4	about that. First question I guess is why
5	does wireless technology matter to webcasters
6	or in this case?
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph?
8	MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, I think
9	we were told that they were going to move to
10	rebuttal testimony. Actually, as I look at
11	part two of Mr. Griffin's testimony, there's
12	absolutely nothing that it's rebutting and I
13	would object to rebuttal testimony on a
14	subject that isn't rebuttal.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?
16	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I think
17	there was a fair amount of testimony in the
18	opening case about wireless technology and
19	whether it's important to webcasters and
20	whether it's sort of pie in the sky in the
21	future or whether it's going to come during

the current license term and what it means for

1	webcasting. I can't cite to you a page and a
2	line number, but I do believe that there was
3	testimony about that and the point of this is
4	simply to address that testimony and to show
5	this wireless technology is something that has
6	made advances and is even in the time that
7	we've been in trial in this case, has made
8	progress that Mr. Griffin can talk about.
9	JUDGE ROBERTS: Are you saying,
10	Mr. Handzo that the broadcasters or the
11	webcasters put the technology question into
12	evidence in the direct case?
13	MR. HANDZO: I think in the first
14	instance, we put it into evidence in the case,
15	but I think in the course of cross
16	examination, there were questions raised that
17	I think we are entitled to respond to in
18	rebuttal.
19	JUDGE ROBERTS: Can you describe
20	to me generically what those questions are?
21	MR. HANDZO: I think generically
22	the questions are how soon is wireless

technology coming. I think there were questions raised about whether it is coming soon enough to have any impact on this proceeding. I think there were also questions raised about whether it matters to webcasting, what impact it has on it. Does it affect the finances of the webcasters and therefore what rate the Board might consider setting in this case and I think certainly both of those things came up in cross examination during the opening phase of the trial.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph?

MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, I think Mr. Handzo is correct when he says that the issue of wireless transmission is а SoundExchange argument and a SoundExchange point in support of their fee proposal. There may have been cross examination on the subject, but that doesn't turn the testimony that's offered here into rebuttal of anything that the broadcasters or the webcasters put into evidence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	The parties should have the right
2	to cross examine a witness that's been
3	proffered on a subject to test that subject
4	without thereby opening the door to
5	essentially the resubmission of a direct case
6	on rebuttal.
7	Everything that's in here is
8	material that but for a question perhaps of
9	timing, may or may not have been available at
10	the time of their direct case, but it all goes
11	to the fundamental issue raised in their
12	direct case. They could have put it in in
13	their direct case. They could have put it in
14	in their direct case.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I'm trying to
16	remember the three factors that we've
17	addressed. Does timing play a role in what is
18	rebuttal?
19	MR. JOSEPH: Is that directed to
20	me, Your Honor?
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Yes.
22	MR. JOSEPH: Frankly, I'm not sure

1	which three factors you're referring to when
2	you say the three factors we've addressed.
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I think
4	you're right. I'm recollecting the three
5	factors that we've identified on motions for -
6	- that are incorrectly called reconsideration
7	and that's not relevant here.
8	What is the significance then of
9	your comment of timing as to whether this is
10	rebuttal?
11	MR. JOSEPH: I was simply arguing,
12	Your Honor, that the fact that there may have
13	been we may now be what a year later than
14	when the direct cases were submitted, doesn't
15	change that which isn't rebuttal into
16	rebuttal. That was the only significance of
17	my point.
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right,
19	Mr. Taylor?
20	MR. TAYLOR: I would just simply
21	note that the Public Radio joins the
22	Broadcasters in the motions and point out that

in the section there is absolutely no citation to or reference to any claim made by any of the service's witnesses and therefore we believe that SoundExchange is taking the opportunity just to amplify their direct case at this point.

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?

MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, it seems to me that what's being advocated here is a highly cramped notion of what rebuttal is that I don't think would hold anywhere.

Ι recall the Board's As regulations, it does not define what rebuttal It simply says you submit a rebuttal is. case, but it actually doesn't say anything at all about particular requirements that have to So technically, under the be met. regulations, I certainly don't think there's any violation here. But I also think that it is really a far too restricted notion to say if there are issues that are addressed in the opening phase, whether they come up in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

direct examination or whether they come up on cross examination, the notion that you can't respond to them in the rebuttal case seems to me to be far too narrow a definition of rebuttal.

Nobody is contesting that these issues were raised and were discussed and issues came up in the opening phase. The only question is who brought them up. That doesn't seem to me to have anything whatsoever to do with whether this is proper rebuttal.

responding to, I guess, Mr. Handzo, is the question that I have in my mind. The way I'm seeing it is that Mr. Griffin made a number of statements in his direct presentation about wireless services. Certainly, there was some cross examination on that. I acknowledge that probably some of it is well, you know, really how developed is it and is it really coming, Mr. Griffin? And now the testimony, some of it seems to be oh yes, it really is coming,

1	but at the same time a lot of it does seem to
2	be a repeat of what was in the direct case.
3 -	In the interest of moving things
4	along, we would be interested in hearing
5	testimony that oh yes, it's really coming and
6	here's why.
7	MR. HANDZO: Let me put those
8	questions to the witness, Your Honor. And
9	I'll move it along.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right,
11	we'll take a 10-minute recess in which we'll
12	consider the objections.
13	MR. HANDZO: Thank you.
14	(Off the record.)
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: After
16	deliberation and review of the statement in
17	Section 2, the Court concludes that the
18	section does not address matters raised by the
19	Services in their direct cases and the
20	objections are sustained.
21	Further, the Court exercises its
22	discretion to be able to solicit evidence and

1	using that discretion, the Court directs
2	SoundExchange to proceed with questions,
3	limited to evidence that has occurred
4	subsequent to the submission of the direct
5	written statements.
6	BY MR. HANDZO:
7	Q Mr. Griffin, let's go back to the
8	subject of wireless technology and I want to
9	ask you some questions about the growth of
10	wireless networks. And again, as you've just
11	heard the Court's ruling, so what I want to
12	focus you on is the growth of wireless
13	networks that has occurred since the time that
14	you submitted your original testimony in this
15	case back in October a year ago. Okay?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And let me just ask you broadly,
18	what has happened in terms of the growth of
19	wireless networks since that time?
20	A Well, there's been a number of
21	significant developments. I'd say probably
22	one of the most relevant for this proceeding

1	is Sprint's announcement that it would be
2	deploying WiMax significantly throughout the
3	United States. Coupled, I would add, Ford's
4	announcement that it would be including an
5	internet radio in the 2007 Ford F150, I'd say
6	that was consistent, but a new development.
7	And their announcement that it would presently
8	use cellular, but as soon as possible would
9	use WiMax, so I think those two kind of fit
10	together.
11	Q Okay. Let me talk about the
12	Spring announcement first and I don't want to
13	violate the Board's ruling.
14	MR. HANDZO: Is it helpful to
15	explain what WiMax is?
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: No, that's
17	covered.
18	MR. HANDZO: Very well.
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, I don't
20	know. In light of the objection.
21	MR. HANDZO: I can do it in two
22	sentences, Your Honor.

1	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
2	BY MR. HANDZO:
3	Q Mr. Griffin, just briefly, what is
4	a WiMax network?
5	A Id' say it's like WiFi which is
6	short range except WiMax is long range and it
7	would typically be used over a 10 to 30 mile
8	radius.
9	Q Do you know how much money Spring
10	has committed to building out a WiMax network?
11	A In excess of \$1 billion is my
12	recollection.
13	Q And do you have an understanding
14	of what the time table is for rolling out that
15	network?
16	A Over the next year or two.
17	Q And do you also have an
18	understanding of what impact that has on the
19	ability to get music wirelessly?
20	A Yes. It's very important to
21	wireless development because under say, for
22	example, WiFI would be very short range. And

wirelessly around your property, or around a college campus or in the extreme across a municipal zone because I think that's an important development. An example would be one of my clients, Nokia, has now begun installing and has succeeded in installing wireless in New York City parks in Manhattan. And that wireless will -- because you can't contain it, it spills over beyond the parks as well and covers a great deal of Manhattan.

WiFi is typically thought of as short range, but you can have a lot of units and it can cover a very wide range, but WiMax is important because it doesn't require you to put in all of these individual sites. We typically refer to cellular or even WiFi is a cellular technology because it deals with a short range and if you want to cover that —a broader range, you have to have more units.

With WiMax, you do not have to have a lot more units. You can have one that

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	covers say a 10 to 30-mile range.
2	Q I take it then that Sprint is
3	building out a WiMax network that's going to
4	cover a far greater area than is currently
5	covered?
6	A Yes. It's intended to do so and
7	to be able to reach into those areas that
8	typically had no last mile before, rural areas
9	would be an example, because you don't have to
10	have someone pulling copper cable or fiber
11	optic cable. You can simply install an
12	antenna and transmitter and receiver end and
13	you're operating within that radius.
14	Q Now the other thing that you
15	mentioned of note in the last year is the
16	announcement by Ford?
17	A Yes, that's right.
18	Q And what is that again?
19	A They announced that they're making
20	an option in the 2007 Ford F150 pickup truck,
21	an internet radio installed into the dashboard
22	that works with cellular frequencies and that

in the future will use something more than 1 cellular. 2 3 Why is that important? 0 It's important because now we're 4 5 bridging the idea that people have to bring 6 their own internet radio to the idea that one 7 is built into the car when you buy the car. will allow internet 8 0 And that 9 webcasters to reach audiences in the car? 10 Α To be sure. They can reach them 11 in the car already. For example, I can listen 12 to internet webcasters in the car or even in 13 this Hearing Room, I can listen. But I need 14 to carry a device and to have that device set 15 up and so forth and to power it myself with 16 batteries and keep it charged and have some 17 headphones or speakers to listen to. 18 case, because it's built into the car, it's 19 It should work there when you get there. 20 seamlessly and it should be easier to use 21 while you're driving the car. One presumes 22 that when the manufacturer installs it that

1	they've done some work on usability and user
2	interface. It makes it easier to do while
3	you're driving.
4	Q Now you mentioned this is a at
5	least originally a cellular device, rather
6	than a WiFi device or WiMax device?
7	A Yes, that's right, because as I
8	mentioned, WiFi, unless you set up a lot of
9	different units in a municipality it can be a
10	short-range technology and cellular is not.
11	In fact, I've used cellular, as I think I
12	wrote in my testimony, to drive a long
13	distance and to see webcasts along the way.
14	So cellular is what we would use
15	typically today to cover, as we are driving,
16	or going over a distance, but WiMax will soon
17	be available to us.
18	Q So cellular is something that you
19	can use to access the Internet and get music
20	that way?
21	A Oh yes.
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph?

1	MR. JOSEPH: Objection, Your
2	Honor. I move to strike the discussion of
3	cellular and what the witness can do with
4	cellular. That is not new development he's
5	talking about, in fact, what he does today.
6	He hasn't tied it to new development.
7	MR. HANDZO: I am sorry, Your
8	Honor, it was intended to tie to the fact that
9	Ford is putting these devices in its cars and
10	they are cellular devices as opposed to WiMax
11	devices, so I wanted the Court to understand
12	that distinction and what it means.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
14	BY MR. HANDZO:
15	Q Mr. Griffin, again, since your
16	original testimony back in October, has there
17	been any expansion of municipal wireless
18	networks?
19	A Yes. Significant expansion of
20	those networks. I mentioned, as an example,
21	our nation's biggest city, New York, is now
22	getting a profusion of wireless WiFi access to

its citizenry, without any investment by the New York municipal government. That's an example. We see similar developments in San Francisco and in Philadelphia. Again, they can be slightly different in these cases. Sometimes it's being paid for, sometimes they're going to charge for it, but in general, we're seeing a broad expansion of WiFi across municipal areas.

Q Who is building those networks if the local government is not actually paying for it?

Α Well, cases, it's in some service provider internet or say Google becoming an internet service provider, saying we would like to be in this business of providing wireless access to the citizens of San Francisco. An example, I'm directly familiar with Nokia, said we're happy to pay for this wireless access to the citizens of New York. And so it can be a private endeavor in those cases and in other municipalities

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	they simply decide it would be useful for the
2	police department and the fire department and
3	the utility workers and it spills over to be
4	an advantage to the citizenry.
5	Q You mentioned Google building out
6	a network. Is that sort of a hypothetical or
7	has Google actually offered that in the recent
8	past?
9	A No, they've offered it and they're
10	doing it in San Francisco.
11	Q Do you know how many
12	municipalities have begun building out
13	networks in the last year?
14	A I don't have a list. It would be
15	quite a significant list, I think. Certainly
16	not one I'd remember.
17	Q You mentioned wireless networks or
18	cars now being enabled to get wireless
19	networks, at least Ford automobiles. In the
20	last year, has that ability to get wireless
21	networks also extended to airplanes?
22	A Sure. It's extended to airplanes.

1 It's extended into a number of places.

Q On the sort of receiving side of the wireless networks, are there new devices that have come out in the last year to get these signals?

We've begun to see more devices that combine cellular and Wi-Fi. In other words, dual reception devices that can use more than one means to get their digits. I mean, typically, a cellular phone used one of five leading cellular technologies to get its voice across and then some limited amount of data across. And now these same new devices might have three different means of swapping digits: cellular, Wi-Fi, and perhaps Bluetooth. And it could use any of these means to get digits and play them as music.

And in fact, the companies that make them are included software appliances or making software appliances available for them that play Internet radio, that say this is for

playing Internet radio on what you and I might 1 typically call a phone, but which they don't 2 want to call phones anymore because they do 3 much more than a telephone would do. 4 One of the things you mentioned 5 0 that these devices were enabled to get is 6 Bluetooth. Tell us what Bluetooth is? 7 8 It's a very short range technology 9 that would typically be used to replace a 10 For example, a cable that runs 10 cable. 11 feet, 30 feet, 50 feet. You could use 12 Bluetooth technology to replace that cable and 13 that becomes important in having the device 14 talk to, say, the car stereo or the home 15 stereo or the home computer. 16 Q Mr. Griffin, as these devices have 17 become available that allow the user to get 18 onto the internet via Wi-Fi or Wi-Max or 19 cellular, have webcasters in the last year 20 been doing deals to get their music played 21 over those devices?

Α

Yes.

1	Q Can you give us some examples?
2	A Well, I know Clear Channel has a
3	station. They started with one in New York
4	and they made that available, and I think it
5	was Z100 that they said well, now you could
6	subscribe to our station over cellular. But
7	again, that's a marketing decision to do that.
8	The technology to do that, you know, wasn't
9	entirely new, of course.
10	Q But the deal is new?
l1	A The deal is new. Right.
12	Q And you mentioned, I think, that
L3	some of these devices come sort of pre-set
L4	with stations?
L5	A Yes, it happens that webcasters
L6	talk to those who make the devices and when
L7	they come out of the box, sometimes they have
L8	pre-set stations included with them.
L9	Q And what, if you know, what
20	percent of devices being marketed today have
21	the ability, devices meaning cell phones or
22	PDAs or whatever, have the ability to play

music over the internet? 1 I'd say that it would be the rare 2 Α 3 device that did not. MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I think 4 completes my examination within the 5 that 6 parameters that the Court gave me. 7 thing I have to do is we have a video of an Griffin 8 ad, а television ad, that ${\tt Mr.}$ 9 referenced earlier. I do want to play that. And also, Mr. Griffin did a demonstration 10 11 basically showing within D.C. how he could get 12 music wirelessly over these devices in a 13 number of different places. That video takes 14 about five minutes to play, so I'd like to go 15 ahead and demonstrate those now and that will 16 conclude my testimony, or examination. 17 CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right. 18 MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, with 19 respect to the second demonstration, it's just 20 not clear me -- I'm sorry. With respect to

Handzo said about

demonstration, it's not clear to me that that

what

Mr.

21

22

second

the

1	demonstration demonstrates new technologies
2	within the past year or is simply again
3	material that has been ruled outside of the
4	proper scope of rebuttal.
5	I don't know whether he's got a
6	representation or what it is.
7	MR. HANDZO: What it represents,
8	Your Honor, is the proliferation of these
9	networks in the recent past, such that he can
10	now go outside and go a lot of different
11	places in D.C. and obtain music wirelessly
12	over the internet. But that is a recent
13	development, because as he's described in his
14	testimony, these are networks that have been
15	proliferating just in the recent past.
16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Okay, thank
17	you. Everyone is invited to come in front of
18	the screen.
19	MR. HANDZO: While everyone is
20	doing that, Mr. Griffin, can you just tell us
21	briefly what it is we're about to see?
22	THE WITNESS: Sure.

1	(Video presentation.)
2	MR. HANDZO: I think that
3	completes Mr. Griffin's examination, except
4	that earlier in the testimony we talked about
5	some television advertising that webcasters
6	were doing and I would just ask to play that
7	now and Mr. Griffin, you can tell us what that
8	is.
9	(Presentation.)
LO	BY MR. HANDZO:
L1	Q Mr. Griffin, is that the
.2	television advertising or one example of it
L3	you were talking about before?
L4	A Sure, that was the ad that I was
.5	referring earlier.
6	Q And showing people being able to
_7	stream at work?
-8	A Over a computer. Correct.
.9	MR. HANDZO: Thank you. That's
20	all I have, Your Honor.
21	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Does anyone
2	want to take a recess for a few minutes?

1	MR. LARSON: Five minutes would be
2	great, Your Honor.
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We haven't
4	had one. We'll recess for five minutes.
5	(Off the record.)
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you,
7	we'll return to order.
8	CROSS EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. LARSON:
10	Q Hello, Mr. Griffin. You remember
11	I'm Todd Larson. We met at your deposition.
12	A Indeed, I do.
13	Q I'm here representing DiMA as well
14	as AOL and Yahoo in this proceeding.
15	A Yes.
16	Q I'll be asking you a few questions
17	this morning. I want to ask you first about
18	the video we just watched, actually. Now you
19	started the video with listening to a webcast
20	station on a device in your office, correct?
21	A It wasn't my office. It was at
22	the law firm's office in the conference room.

1	Q Okay, and that was through a WiFi
2	connection?
3	A Initially, that was the first
4	device. That was the Nokia 770.
5	Q Okay, and could you have done,
6	listened to a webcast through a WiFi
7	connection in September of 2005 as opposed to
8	September of 2006 when you made the video?
9	A I don't know. It's an interesting
10	question. I don't know the answer to whether
11	I could have done it.
12	Q Okay. And you listened then after
13	that to a device through a cellular
14	connection, is that right?
15	A That's right.
16	Q And you did that both in the
17	office and in the park?
18	A That's right.
19	Q Could you have done that
20	demonstration in September of 2005?
21	A I know I didn't have a device in
22	September of 2005 that could do cellular and

1	Wifi.
2	Q Did those devices exist?
3	A You know, I don't know if they did
4	to be honest with you. Something makes me
5	think that they did not. Certainly the
6	devices I have were not available at that
7	time. And the devices that I had at that time
8	I don't recall. They certainly weren't the
9	ones that I used in this demonstration. They
LO	weren't this size and so forth.
L1	Q Let's move to your statement. You
L2	say on page one that real revenues are flowing
L3	to webcasters?
L4	A The rebuttal statement?
L5	Q Yes.
L6	A And this is page one? I'm there.
L7	Q This statement is not based on
L8	your review of an commercial webcaster's
L9	revenue figures or documents. Is that
20	correct?
21	A The statement is not based on
22	that.

1	Q Now on page five you say, the top
2	of page five, that the business is so
3	profitable that AOL and other service
4	providers are moving from charging the
5	audience for access to the network to simply
6	charging the network sponsors for access to
7	the audience.
8	When you used the phrase "the
9	business is so profitable", do you include
10	webcasting in that statement?
11	A Yes.
12	Q It's true, is it not, that you've
13	not cited or provided any analysis or
14	financial reports of webcaster advertising as
15	the basis for this contention.
16	A That's right.
17	Q And you had not reviewed any of
18	AOL's financials, correct?
19	A Nothing that isn't publicly
20	available.
21	Q Now you list a job posting here,
22	just below. This is actually, it's Exhibit

1	232 in the books, so you can just flip to
2	that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So on the first page of this
5	exhibit where it says summary?
6	A Yes.
7	Q You'll see that that's where you
8	start quoting, correct? Join the audience
9	business?
10	A That's right.
11	Q And then I direct your attention
12	to the second sentence. It says "as senior
13	manager, you will be part of the business
14	development team for AOL's entertainment
15	channels including AOL music, AOL television,
16	AOL movies, and leading internet franchises
17	such as Sessions and Unscripted." You see
18	that?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now in your testimony, your
21	written testimony, you stopped the quote after
22	AOL music. You see that?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And why did you do that?
3	A Because it had already included
4	the following information by saying for AOL's
5	entertainment channels. And I simply wanted
6	to be clear that that included AOL music as
7	one, but not, of course, an exhaustive
8	recitation.
9	Q Right, but by only including AOL
LO	music, your quote didn't specify that AOL
11	entertainment also includes movies and
.2	television, correct?
13	A Well, it includes more than AOL
L4	television and movies. So it didn't include
.5	all of them, because they didn't even include
L6	all of them.
_7	Q So AOL's audience business, just
. 8	stepping back which is the beginning of the
_9	quote, AOL's audience business comprises much
20	more than AOL music, correct?
21	A Oh, yes.
22	Q And it comprises much more than

1	AOL entertainment?
2	A Oh, yes.
3	Q So the \$1.5 billion dollar figure
4	here strike that. You don't know what part
5	of the \$1.5 billion dollars in revenue that's
6	listed here is for AOL entertainment as
7	opposed to other AOL offerings outside of
8	entertainment, correct?
9	A No, I don't.
10	Q And within entertainment, you
11	don't know what part of the revenue is music
12	as opposed to television or movies, correct?
13	A I don't think anybody could.
14	Q And even further down, within
15	music's subportion of this, you don't know
16	what portion of their revenue is webcasting
17	revenue as opposed to say videos or on-demand
18	streaming or things like that, correct?
19	A I don't think even AOL knows.
20	Q Well, are you aware that AOL
21	tracks revenues by different business units?
22	A Oh, sure. That wouldn't be the

1	conclusive answer.
2	Q But they attempt to do so,
3	correct?
4	A I think you could apply whatever
5	arbitrary definition you wanted, but it
6	wouldn't be an explanation for why that person
7	came to you or why you kept them or why they
8	stayed or why they did the other things they
9	did.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Why they put
11	revenue into one space and not another space?
12	MR. LARSON: Fair enough.
13	BY MR. LARSON:
14	Q Now you say the audience business
15	is generating record revenues?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q There's no reference in this job
18	posting to record revenues, correct?
19	A This one job posting? No. I
20	don't think it says the word record revenues.
21	It says fastest growing business, but it
22	doesn't say record revenues.

1	Q So what's the basis for your
2 -	contention after the quote that the audience
3	business is generating record revenues and
4	profit growth?
5	A Well, if you were to add Google
6	into that equation, then others who sell
7	audience, I'd say it's record we're setting
8	a record with this. Google hit \$500 a share
9	yesterday.
10	Q I'm not asking about Google. I'm
11	asking about AOL. So is it your contention
12	that AOL's revenues are record setting?
13	A I don't think I said specifically
14	that AOL's were record setting.
15	Q Well, you said AOL's help wanted
16	advertisement makes clear what they would
17	rather not admit at a rate setting hearing.
18	The audience business is generating record
19	revenues and profit growth?
20	A If the audience business is
21	generating record revenues and profit growth.
22	Q Is it your testimony that AOL's

1	audience business is generating record
2	revenues and profit growth?
3	A I don't know if AOL specifically
4	is.
5	Q Okay. And have you cited in this
б	statement or provided any evidence of revenues
7	of the audience business for any other
8	services beside AOL?
9	A No, I don't think I quoted any
10	numbers. I just included AOL's own quote
11	about what its business was doing that it
12	quoted in an effort to attract employees.
13	Q Now on page three of your
14	statement, you suggest that the rumors of the
15	death of webcasting were greatly exaggerated
16	in 2002. Do you see that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And you were a witness in what
19	we'll call CARP 1, back in 2001 and 2002.
20	Correct?
21	A I was.
22	Q And you're aware that the CARP

1	rate was set in February of 2002?
2	A I think that's right, yes.
3	Q And it was adjusted by the
4	Librarian of Congress in July of 2002?
5	A Right.
6	Q And are you aware that the number
7	of webcasters dropped significantly after that
8	ruling by the Librarian?
9	A I believe it did.
10	Q And is it possible that the
11	decline or a cause of the decline was the
12	setting of the initial CARP rate?
13	A It could be possibly a cost.
14	Q Now you quote Mr. Robedee here in
15	the middle of page three. And you're
16	suggesting here that the complaints by people
17	like Mr. Robedee about the CARP rate were
18	unwarranted, correct?
19	A I believe their speculation proved
20	inaccurate.
21	Q And that, in fact, webcasting
22	survived just fine under the CARP rates that

1	were put forward in CARP 1, right?
2	A It thrived.
3	Q Now are you aware of something
4	called the Small Webcaster Settlement Act?
5	A I'm familiar with it.
6	Q Are you aware that that was passed
7	in late 2002 because small webcasters and
8	noncommercial webcasters complained to
9	Congress that they could not afford the rate
10	set by the Librarian in CARP 1?
11	A I couldn't tell you all the
12	reasons why it happened.
13	Q Are you aware that the Small
14	Webcaster Settlement Act allowed for payment
15	by small webcasters on a percentage of revenue
16	royalty, rather than a use royalty?
17	A I believe that's right.
18	Q And are you aware that that same
19	act allowed noncommercial stations, like Mr.
20	Robedee's, to pay a flat minimum fee?
21	A Yes, sure.
22	Q And are you aware of what that fee

1	is?
2	A I don't recall specifically what
3	it is. I would look it up. It's typically
4	what I do with numbers. I have them call
5	David Oxenford or someone like that to find
6	out.
7	MR. LARSON: I'm going to mark
8	this as Exhibit 8, if that's okay.
9	(Whereupon, the above-
LO	referred to document was
L1	marked as Services
L2	Exhibit R-8 for
L3	identification.)
L4	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I'm going
L5	to object to questions specifically going to
L6	the Small Webcaster rates. Those rates, by
L7	statute, are supposed to be non-precedential
L8	and shouldn't be admitted in this proceeding.
L9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Does this
20	have something to do with Exhibit 8?
21	MR. HANDZO: I believe so, yes.
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Larson?

1	MR. LARSON: Yes, Your Honor, I'm
2	not offering these rates as precedent. I'm
3	aware that the Small Webcaster Settlement Act
4	specifically said that the rates could not be
5	used as precedent. I'm actually just showing
6	them to make clear what Mr. Robedee is paying,
7	because Mr. Griffin's testimony suggests that
8	webcasters have survived fine under the CARP
9	rate. And if this shows that Mr. Robedee is
10	in fact not paying the CARP rate, then it
11	would go to the credibility of Mr. Griffin's
12	testimony. But I'm not intending to offer
13	these rates as precedent, which is barred by
14	the Act.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo?

MR. LARSON: Your Honor, I rise because I was going to ask a similar question. I want to preserve my right to do so. Mr. Griffin has clearly opened the door. implied with his testimony, in fact, has as much stated, that there were many complaints from Mr. Robedee and others including those

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

writing to Mr. Robedee who work for small 1 collegiate, noncommercial broadcasters, that 2 3 they were going down. And Mr. Griffin's testimony says everybody did just fine. These 4 5 were all profit. It seems to me he's opened 6 the door wide open on the question of what 7 these people actually were paying and why 8 they're still here today.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Well, rather than putting into evidence something that statute says cannot be in evidence, or cannot be considered, doesn't that response that you are seeking simply require evidence that Mr. Robedee is not paying the royalty rates set by the Librarian of Congress, as stated in the statement on page three?

MR. JOSEPH: That would be a start, Your Honor, but given the statement that the witness has made, it would be appropriate, at least I would argue that it would be appropriate to say exactly what he was paying just because it shows how absurd

1	the comment made by the witness was. It goes
2	to the credibility of the witness in that
3	regard.
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Objection
5	sustained.
6	BY MR. LARSON:
7	Q Mr. Griffin, are you aware that
8	Mr. Robedee's organization pays a rate for its
9	webcasting which is not the rate set by the
10	Librarian of Congress in 2002?
11	A Sure.
12	Q And so if Mr. Robedee is in fact
13	still in business and in fact a participant in
14	this proceeding, there's no sign in that the
15	royalty rate set by CARP 1 was or was not
16	affordable. Correct?
17	A Sure, there is. I mean, because
18	you can negotiate it down. In other words, a
19	higher rate is rarely a problem because both
20	parties want to maximize revenue and they'll
21	negotiate an appropriate rate. But a rate
22	that's too low, of course, is a huge problem.

1	So a higher rate does not mean the death of
2	the webcasting industry because those
3	responsible can negotiate another rate or work
4	out another arrangement or the Librarian of
5	Congress can adjust it to a different place.
6	Q I'm asking specifically about Mr.
7	Robedee here, and the fact that Mr. Robedee is
8	still being in business is irrelevant to the
9	royalty rates set by the first CARP, is it
10	not?
11	A No, I don't think it's irrelevant.
12	Q He's not paying that rate, though.
13	Correct?
14	A He's paying a different rate that
15	reflects more of a market type condition.
16	Q Now you say on page four, I'm
17	sorry, in the first full paragraph
18	according to BRS Media, which webcasters
19	themselves rely on in their testimony,
20	following along, is in evidence of decline in
21	webcasting. There were as many webcasters
22	operating in April 2005 as there were in 2001,

1	at a time when webcasters were paying nothing
2	for the right to play sound recordings. Now
3	it's true, is it not, that the DMCA created a
4	royalty requirement for webcasters going back
5	to 1998, correct?
6	A I believe that's right, yes.
7	Q And webcasters operating in 2001,
8	in fact, owed royalties for the performance of
9	sound recordings, correct?
10	A I don't know that they perceived
11	that.
12	Q Well, whether they perceived it or
13	not, they owed those royalties, correct?
14	A Retrospectively, yes.
15	Q Right. So if they weren't paying,
16	it wasn't because the royalties weren't owed?
17	A Well, they wouldn't know what they
18	would be.
19	Q Right, the decision hadn't been
20	announced yet, correct?
21	A Right.
22	Q And once it was announced, they

1	then retroactively owed payments back from
2	1998?
3	A That is how it turned out, that is
4	right.
5	Q Now in the following paragraph,
6	you cited a growth number for portals like
7	AOL, Yahoo, Microsoft, etcetera of 177 percent
8	from October '04 to October '05?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And you provide a footnote to
11	Arbitron is the measure of that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Now that growth has slowed
14	considerably from 2005 to 2006, has it not?
15	A I'm not sure about that, but it
16	could have, yes.
17	Q In fact, the growth rate from '05
18	to '06 was less than a quarter of the 177
19	percent that you quote, correct?
20	A Might be.
21	Q Does 38 percent ring a bell to
22	you?

1	A Thirty-eight percent sounds good
2	to me, too.
3	Q You mentioned Pandora on page 4 as
4	an example of a webcaster that typifies the
5	growth of the industry, is that right?
6	A It's an example, yes.
7	Q Are you aware of Pandora's
8	functionality?
9	A I am.
10	Q And are you aware that users can
11	enter the names of favorite artists or songs
12	and the service will create a station that
13	features music similar to those artists or
14	songs?
15	A Not just similar, it could include
16	that artist and those songs
17	Q Right. Although you can't enter
18	the name of the song and have it played
19	immediately, correct?
20	A It has happened to me, believe it
21	or not. So to say it does not happen, but I
22	think it's not their intention that that

1	happens every time. But it has certainly
2	happened.
3	Q And in terms of strike that.
4	Are you aware that Pandora allows users to
5	rate songs while they're listening in a way
6	that influences what gets played on the
7	station?
8	A It allows you to do so.
9	Q Are you aware that Yahoo
10	Launchcast offers similar functionality?
11	A I believe it does.
12	Q And are you aware that certain
13	record labels have sued Yahoo for including
14	those features in customized stations?
15	A You know, I don't know the status
16	of that. I really don't.
17	Q You're not aware that Yahoo was
18	sued?
19	A I really don't. I'm not aware of
20	the status of that.
21	Q So do you know strike that. By
22	including Pandora in your statement, did you

1	make the assumption that Pandora is a service
2	that qualifies for the statutory license?
3	A I do make that assumption. I
4	believe they're paying under it and operating
5	under it. As to the status of any challenges
6	and so forth to the user interface, I'm not
7	familiar with it.
8	Q Okay, can you turn to Exhibit 230
9	in your book?
10	A I'm there.
11	Q Now you cite this in your
12	testimony, correct?
13	A I do.
14	Q And that's because you believe
15	this to be an accurate and reliable measure of
16	the webcasting market?
17	A No.
18	Q It's not?
19	A No, I think it's a reliable
20	relative indicator, but I think it's very
21	difficult to know exactly what station someone
22	is listening to at any given time and so

1	forth. Arbitron does its best and I think,
2	you know, you use statistical measures, but as
3	to its accuracy, I'm not going to attest. But
4	it is a relative measure that the industry
5	relies upon.
6	Q And so you cited something in your
7	testimony that you don't believe is accurate?
8	A To be honest, I have doubts about
9	it too, as does everybody. All information
10	has flaws. Arbitron's has flaws too, but it
11	is a measure that the industry relies upon for
12	relative members. But I think we all concede,
13	including the industry that relies upon them
14	that there are inadequacies that Arbitron has,
15	so when you asked me straight up do I think
16	it's an entirely accurate measure of industry
17	performance, I think I should respond to you
18	as does most of the industry. We have some
19	doubts about it, but we do use it as a
20	relative measure.
21	Q You're talking in terms of its
22	measures of Arbitron's measures of

1	webcasting listening?
2	A I think almost any numbers, yes.
3	Q Now what this is, ifI'm right, is
4	a study that is a survey of users and their
5	behaviors, correct?
6	A Yes. It's performed together with
7	Edison.
8	Q And so that's not this isn't
9	the weekly measure or monthly measure of
10	webcasting listening that Arbitron also
11	provides, correct?
12	A No, but some of the same
13	methodologies. They asked 1925 people what
14	they thought, similar to how Arbitron does its
15	ratings and one of the things the industry
16	criticizes.
17	Q Now I wanted to ask you about
18	something on page five of the study.
19	(Pause.
20	Just a clarification. In your
21	testimony at the bottom of page three, running
22	over to page four, your testimony says that

1	Arbitron found that weekly listening to
2	webcasting had grown 50 percent in the past
3	year. Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Looking at page five, just for
6	clarification sake again, what this actually
7	shows is that the weekly audience grew by 50
8	percent, not the listening in terms of hours.
9	A Okay. I believe you.
10	Q Well, is that the correct
11	interpretation of the
12	A Actually, it could be a correct
13	interpretation. I don't have the original
14	data from the study, but
15	Q But based on what you see here,
16	that's the correct interpretation?
17	A Seems right, a number of people
18	have listened.
19	Q Look at page 12 of this setting.
20	A Yes, I'm at page 12.
21	Q You see it says under paragraph
22	17, one finding of the study was that three-

1	quarters of the digital radio audience predict
2	that they will continue listening to the same
3	amount of AM-FM radio. Do you see that?
4	A I do.
5	Q And then down at 18 it says AM-FM
6	radio does not appear to be losing time spent
7	listening to the new digital radio platforms,
8	do you see that?
9	A I see it.
10	Q Do you believe those to be
11	accurate statements?
12	A No.
13	Q And why not?
14	A Well, I think like much of the
15	industry, there's a belief today that FM
16	listening is going down and that audience is
17	being lost to new digital media.
18	Q So you think they just got it
19	wrong in this study?
20	A Sure.
21	Q And this is a study that you again
22	have provided and quoted in your testimony,

1	right?
2	A One part of it. I think it's an
3	accurate measure. I think it's quite clear
4	that there are a lot more people listening to
5	internet radio. Whether they are correct in
6	saying that they are listening to as much AM-
7	FM as they do, it's hard to tell.
8	Q So your testimony is that part of
9	this document is accurate and part of it is
10	not?
11	A Yes. I believe the part that
12	shows the increase in numbers. I don't
13	believe the part that interprets how it will
14	affect AM-FM radio and I'm not alone in that.
15	Q Okay. Now you suggest on page 67
16	of your testimony, do you not, that people
17	A Page 67 did you say?
18	Q 6-2-7.
19	A 6-2-7. Okay, I'm there.
20	Q You suggest that people listen to
21	webcasting where AM and FM radio signals have
22	penetrating the walls of buildings?

1	A Yes, I'm not the only one, but
2	yes, that's considered to be a problem.
3	Q And so it's possible, is it not,
4	that webcasting is allowing some people to
5	listen at times when they wouldn't previously
6	have listened to music?
7	A Sure.
8	Q Now actually back on the Arbitron
9	study, I want to ask you about one other point
10	on page 14 of that study.
11	A Yes.
12	Q Paragraph 22?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you see it says one third of
15	weekly on-line radio listeners have purchased
16	music from an on-line music download store?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And then continues, "those who
19	listen to on-line radio platforms such as
20	internet radio or podcasting are much more
21	likely to have purchased downloadable music
22	than the average American?

	A Sure.
2	Q And in fact, in the graph, weekly
3	internet radio listeners, the highest of the
4	choices given there, correct?
5	A I see that.
6	Q So what the statistics is showing,
7	is it not is that people who regularly listen
8	to internet webcasts are more likely to hear
9	the music and potentially purchase that music?
10	A Sure, people who listen to music
11	buy music, yes.
12	Q Now in footnote eight of your
13	statement, located on page four?
14	A Yes.
15	Q I want to direct your attention to
16	the last sentence of that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You say "although not satellite
19	radios equal at present, webcasting will rival
20	it during the license period."
21	A Yes.
22	Q Can you tell us what you meant by

1	that statement?
2	A I believe that webcasting is
3 .	growing and during the time period of this
4	license that it will reach the point where it
5	has as much potential as satellite radio does
6	or as much realization as satellite radio
7	does.
8	Q And do you mean this in terms of
9	number of listeners or number of listeners?
10	A As a business.
11	Q Do you mean that webcasting will
12	rival satellite radio in terms of its
13	offerings?
14	A I would say in terms of its
15	business opportunities.
16	Q And what do you mean by business
17	opportunities?
18	A The kind of revenue that it
19	attracts.
20	Q Okay. Now when you say that
21	webcasting is currently not satellite radio's
22	equal, you mean that the current webcasting

1	offerings are not quite the equal of satellite
2	radios in terms of comprehensiveness, correct?
3	A Comprehensiveness? No, I think I
4	said revenue.
5	Q Okay. Do you remember you were
6	deposed in this
7	A I do.
8	Q These are actually marked already.
9	I've marked this as Exhibit 7.
10	(Whereupon, the above-
11	referred to document was
12	marked as Services R-7
13	for identification.)
14	Now you were deposed in this
15	proceeding, correct?
16	A Oh, sure.
17	Q In fact, I was the one who asked
18	you questions at the deposition.
19	A You were.
20	Q Now take a look, if you would, at
21	your deposition at page 47 of 48?
22	And it's the the number that

1	you want to look at is the number in the
2	the numbers are in the right corner, lower
3	right hand corner of the page.
4	A Right.
5	Q So you see 47 of 48?
6	A Yes. Okay, now I do see 47, it's
7	above 48.
8	Q Right, exactly.
9	A I see.
10	Q So if you take a look there, you
11	see let's start up on page 47 at line 12,
12	your answer.
13	A Yes.
14	Q "I think that webcasting will
15	rival satellite radio during this time period,
16	during the time frame 2006 to 2010."
17	Question: "By rival, do you mean
18	in terms of usage?"
19	Answer: "Yeah, I would say in
20	terms of its offerings, in terms of its
21	comprehensiveness, in terms of the commercial
22	opportunity it presents."

1	A Yes.
2	Q "Do you believe that webcasting
3	usage will rival satellite radio usage?"
4	"I don't think that's exactly what
5	I'm talking about here. I'm talking about how
6	well it reaches out to its audience, the
7	comprehensiveness of the offering, how well it
8	pulls itself together."
9	Do you recall testifying to that
10	effect?
11	A I do.
12	Q And do you stand by those
13	statements?
14	A Sure. It ends in terms of
15	revenue, yes.
16	Q But also in terms of its offerings
17	and its comprehensiveness, correct?
18	A Yes, but they reflect themselves
19	in revenue.
20	Q Right. Now do you believe that
21	webcasting and I'm sorry if I've asked you
22	this in a different form, but that webcasting

1	will be satellite radio's rival for audience?
2	A Will it be its rival?
3	Q I'm going to put it another way.
4	Do you believe they compete for listeners?
5	A Sure. They do. And in fact,
6	sometimes they use internet radio to reach
7	their listeners, satellite does.
8	Q Now at one point in your statement
9	you say you ask whether it's fair for Clear
10	Channel to pay a different royalty than Yahoo
11	when it is competing for Yahoo's audience. Do
12	you recall that?
13	A I do.
14	Q Do you believe it's fair for XM or
15	Sirius to pay a different royalty than Yahoo
16	if they're competing for an audience?
17	A Ultimately, I hope that they pay
18	the same rate.
19	Q Now let's talk about some of the
20	portability points that you make in wireless.
21	At the beginning of your statement you say
22	that webcasts

1	A I think just to be entirely clear,
2	my goal is that one not be advantaged versus
3	the other. I think whether the specific rate
4	is the point, it's the the government
5	shouldn't substitute its judgment for the
6	market.
7	Q Let's move a long. You say on
8	page one of your statement that webcasts are
9	progressing faster and further than ever
10	towards true wireless?
11	A Where is this?
12	Q Page one.
13	A Page one.
14	Q Do you want me to read that again
15	for the record?
16	A Hold on just a second.
17	Q Down at the bottom, the paragraph
18	starting with "Second"?
19	A Yes, that's right.
20	Q "Webcasts are now untethered from
21	wired networks and are progressing faster and
22	further than previously expected towards true

1	wireless portability"?
2	A Yes.
3	Q You didn't produce any studies or
4	analyses of the rates of usage of wireless
5	webcasting as compared to wired webcasting,
6	correct?
7	A That's right. I did not.
8	Q And when you wrote this statement,
9	you weren't referring to or relying on any
10	particular research, correct?
11	A My research. My expertise. My
12	experience.
13	Q And any research in particular in
14	that experience that you looked at that formed
15	the basis for this?
16	A No one particular thing.
17	Q Any at all?
18	A Sure. I mean I am exposed to a
19	lot of research and specifically in this area.
20	Not that I personally possess, but I attend a
21	number of meetings where this kind of research
22	comes up and it's clear that it's happening

1	faster and further than we had thought that it
2	would.
3	Q And just to be clear, the
4	statement did you rely on or review any
5	studies reviewing rates of usage of wireless
6	webcasting when you wrote this statement?
7	A When I was writing this, I was not
8	reviewing any particular number, no.
9	Q Were you relying on a particular
10	study?
11	A In my mind, there were a number of
12	things that I had seen that showed me that
13	webcasting would increasingly be a component
14	and so when I wrote this, I wrote that because
15	I know that to be true.
16	Q And can you name what any of those
17	studies were?
18	A No, I don't have those studies. I
19	don't possess them. My clients do.
20	Q Okay. You also didn't cite or
21	produce any specific statistics about adoption
22	or usage rates of wireless webcasting,

1	correct?
2	A Right, I did not. Well, I did. I
3	provided Clear Channel's numbers and those
4	kind of numbers, I mean. They showed 421
5	percent increase in listening.
6	Q And that's cellular, correct?
7	A Pardon me?
8	Q That's cellular, right?
9	A I don't that's specifically
10	cellular. In fact, I'm pretty sure it's not.
11	Q It's not?
12	A No. But I think you asked me did
13	I cite any studies about an increased use of
14	webcasting and my answer is yes, I did.
15	Q Clear Channel.
16	A That's an example, yes.
17	Q Now if people can listen to
18	webcasts on mobile devices, as you suggest,
19	this increases the opportunities for record
20	labels to earn royalties that are paid on
21	those webcasts, correct?
22	A It's not an if. They can, in

1	fact, listen on these devices. And so, the
2	audience has more opportunities to listen.
3	Q Right, and the record companies
4	have more opportunities to earn royalties,
5	correct?
6	A It wouldn't necessarily be more,
7	if it was a shift, but if there's an increase,
8	yes. More people, more royalties.
9	Q Well, let's just talk about that
10	shift. If you're in your car, and you
11	generally listen to radio, terrestrial radio,
12	the record companies don't receive a royalty
13	for that, correct?
14	A Well, that person would be an
15	increase.
16	Q Right. Right, so the person who
17	then instead listeners to webcasts, as you
18	suggest they might, would represent an
19	increase for the record company in terms of
20	its royalty?
21	A Right, yes.
22	Q And as we talked about before,

1	portable webcasting I'm sorry, not
2	portable, but webcasting could lead to
3	listening in places like an office where you
4	couldn't previously have listened to AM-FM
5	because it wouldn't come through the walls,
6	correct?
7	A Right.
8	Q And so again, that would represent
9	an opportunity for the record company to earn
10	a royalty that it wouldn't otherwise have
11	earned?
12	A It could, sure.
13	Q Now I want to talk specifically
14	about WiFi. So if I'm using my laptop and
15	sitting in a Starbucks or McDonald's, as
16	you've mentioned in your statement, and I'm
17	connected via WiFi, I have to pay for that
18	access, correct?
19	A I think in the average Starbucks
20	or McDonald's you would have to pay right now.
21	Q And in one of the municipal
22	networks that you talked about it might be
	1

1	free if I were sitting in a city park or
2	something?
3	A Right, I think though to be
4	completely truthful, and honest in my answer
5	to your question, it should be clear that I
6	believe that you can pay a flat fee for those
7	services and that means that when you sit down
8	to listen, there's not an economic consequence
9	to your decision if that's what you're
10	implying. If you've already paid, you're not
11	paying by your decision to listen to a
12	webcast.
13	Q Exactly, you pay Starbucks or T-
14	Mobile who provides the service for Starbucks
15	a flat fee for internet access and then you
16	can do whatever you want.
17	A In those examples of those
18	particular shops, I mean there are others that
19	provide it at no charge.
20	Q Correct. Let's stick to Starbucks
21	or McDonald's just for the next few questions.
22	A The ones that charge you.

1	Q The ones that charge. Now so if
2	I'm sitting there with my laptop, I can launch
3	a browser and surf the web, correct?
4	A That's one thing you could do.
5	Q And I could visit, for example,
6	iTunes from that laptop, just like I could if
7	I were sitting at my desk at work or on my
8	connection at home, correct?
9	A Sure, I think that's possible.
10	Q And so that would represent an
11	opportunity for iTunes to sell me songs that
12	it would not have otherwise had, correct?
13	A It's one thing you could do. I
14	mean you could equally shop at Target, but
15	again, it's one thing you could do.
16	Q And if I do choose to shop at
17	iTunes for downloads, it's an opportunity that
18	the record companies would not otherwise have
19	had if I couldn't get on line at Starbucks,
20	correct?
21	A Sure, you could do that or you
22	could make a wire transfer. I mean there's a

1	lot of things you could do from a laptop
2	connected to a network.
3	Q So because of Starbucks' and T-
4	Mobile's investment in wireless access in the
5	coffee shop, the record companies have an
6	opportunity to reach consumers and sell them
7	songs and make money that they would not
8	otherwise have had, correct?
9	A Not a unique opportunity. It's
10	just an opportunity like any other digital
11	opportunity.
12	Q Right, and not one they otherwise
13	would have had if you couldn't get access
14	while you were in Starbucks, right?
15	A Again, it's just like anything
16	else you do with a digital connection. You
17	could do any of them, that's right.
18	Q Now if that person were sitting in
19	Starbucks and couldn't get on line, they
20	couldn't buy music, right?
21	A Pardon me? If they were in
22	sitting in Starbucks and they couldn't get on

1	line, and no one approached them to sell them
2	music, then they couldn't buy music. I mean
3	that's right, yes.
4	Q Okay.
5	A I mean if you go to the McDonald's
6	in Times Square, I assure you people approach
7	you to sell you music.
8	Q Well, putting that example aside.
9	Now if a person listens to a webcast while
10	they're logged on at their local Starbucks,
11	the record companies have the chance to earn
12	a royalty that they would not otherwise have
13	earned on that webcast, correct?
14	A That's one thing that could
15	happen.
16	Q Now let's go back to iTunes for a
17	second. If iTunes sells a download to a
18	person accessing the Internet through a WiFi
19	connection at Starbucks, they charge the same
20	rate to that person for the download as they
21	were if that person were sitting at his desk

at work, right?

22

1	A Well, they charge the same rate?
2	As you pointed out, the person is not paying
3	the same rate because they're paying more the
4	wireless access, so it does cost more, but
5	you're saying that the 99 cents that you pay
6	for download doesn't know that you're on the
7	other end of a wireless connection, so they
8	don't adjust it. Is that what you're saying?
9	Q That's my question.
10	A No, no. I think it's your
11	statement and it's true. I mean they have one
12	price for someone who comes on a digital
1.3	connection. That's right.
14	Q Whether or not that connection is
15	wired at your desk or wireless at Starbucks?
16	A Right.
17	Q And the payment that you make is
18	actually to Starbucks or T-Mobile for the
19	internet access, but iTunes doesn't charge a
20	higher rate because you're accessing it
21	wirelessly?
22	A I don't think they do.

1	Q Okay. And if I were to visit say
2	The New York Times' website, The New York
3	<u>Times</u> doesn't charge people more if they're
4	accessing their website from Starbucks as
5	opposed to through a wired connection at work
6	or at home, correct?
7	A But <u>The New York Times</u> does charge
8	differently for different things of different
9	value. I mean they don't have just one price.
10	If something is worth more, they charge more
11	for it like Thomas Friedman.
12	Q Fair enough. So <u>The New York</u>
13	<u>Times</u> offers certain content that they charge
14	for, right?
15	A Based on value. If they think
16	something offers a higher value, they charge
17	a higher price for it.
18	Q Right, so Tom Friedman and some of
19	their other editorials you have to pay a
20	monthly subscription or something to get
21	access to those records?
22	A It's an example of how they vary,

1	based on value.
2	Q And if you went to Starbucks and
3	were listening wirelessly or logged on
4	wirelessly at Starbucks, the price for that
5	Tom Friedman content would be the same, right?
6	A I think it's the same.
7	Q Right. And another thing I could
8	do while sitting at Starbucks would be to
9	listen to say Yahoo Launchcast, correct?
LO	A Sure, you could do that.
L1	Q And Yahoo doesn't charge a premium
L2	if I'm on a laptop connected wirelessly,
L3	right?
L4	A I don't think they do today. I
L5	mean I think it offers a different value
-6	proposition to use music wirelessly, if that's
L7	what you're concerned with, but I don't think
L8	today they charge a price that's different.
L9	Q Can you name any content provider
20	that charges one price for providing its
21	content to users on the Internet who connect
22	from work or home and charge a different price

1	when they're connected on their laptop?
2	A It's a trick question. Because
3	those that do don't typically offer both
4	access. In other words, to be sure, Sprint,
5	Verizon, Cingular, etcetera, do offer premium
6	gardens that charge more because something is
7	wireless.
8	Q I'm asking about WiFi, not
9	cellular.
10	A Then it's wireless. I thought
11	that was your oh, you're saying
12	specifically because it's WiFi?
13	Q Yes. If I'm on a municipal
14	network in San Francisco or at Starbucks where
15	I have to pay, are there any content providers
16	you know of who will charge me more for
17	accessing their content than they would if I
18	were sitting at my desk?
19	A On the WiFi part? No, I don't
20	think they do it by WiFi.
21	Q Okay. You don't think they charge
22	more if you're connected to the WiFi?

1	A I don't think they do. I mean I
2	don't think that they do, but again, I think
3	the value may be different and they may do so
4	in the future.
5	Q Now the cities you discussed, the
6	municipal networks, where the commercial
7	providers like Google who sponsor those
8	wireless networks, they make significant
9	investments in those networks, correct?
10	A Yes, they do.
11	Q Millions of dollars?
12	A Yes. I mean I think in some cases
13	it's millions.
14	Q And the record companies whose
15	content is available to people through those
16	wireless networks, they don't make any
17	investments in those networks, correct?
18	A The record companies?
19	Q Yes.
20	A No, I don't know of a record
21	company making an investment into a WiFi
22	network.

1	Q But they get the benefit of
2	consumers' increased access to their content,
3	as we were talking about before, correct?
4	A The benefit? I mean it could also
5	be a detriment.
6	Q Well, they get the benefit in
7	terms of royalties, people listen to webcasts,
8	right?
9	A Well, now you're making an
10	assumption that I don't think is necessarily
11	valid. I mean there's a risk associated with
12	network access to music without any question -
13	_
14	Q Mr. Griffin, I'm not asking I'm
15	asking a very specific question that I'd like
16	you to answer.
17	A Yes.
18	Q Do record companies benefit from
19	the increased access to their content provided
20	by wireless networks by municipalities or
21	Google?
22	A Maybe. That's my answer. Maybe.

It's a risk. They could benefit or they could It could be used for theft of the lose. content or the acquisition of the content for it could without paying it, orassociated with payment. But there's question in my mind that the most accurate answer to your question is that the network access to music presents a risk to those who sell that music. It's a compensable risk.

Q And it provides a risk and it provides a corresponding benefit in terms of royalties --

A No, no, no. I didn't say there's a risk and there's a benefit. There's a risk. A risk means that it could be good. It could be bad and it depends upon the circumstances. Without question, it's a compensable risk. It would be like if you and I were talking about vehicular traffic, unquestionably cars present some benefit. But also unquestionably, they present a negative and that risk is clearly compensable with an additional charge for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	using a vehicle, even though you'd
2	unquestionably say you should have one in
3	order to engage in economic behavior. So
4	there's a risk associated with network access
5	to music without any question.
6	Q And that risk exists when users
7	are accessing the Internet at their desk, too,
8	correct? Or at home?
9	A There's a risk there, too. The
10	risk is different in each place and presents
11	more or less a benefit. I mean certainly a
12	wireless network presents a greatest risk.
13	Q And why is that?
14	A Well, because music and mobility
15	are associated with one another in a strong
16	way. And so the notion that music would be
17	used wirelessly goes at one of the key reasons
18	that people would otherwise purchase a phone
19	or record, so they could have access to it
20	while they were moving on their Walkman or
21	their portable device.
22	Q Are you suggesting that wireless

access somehow makes it easier for people to steal music?

Yes, amongst other things. Ιt makes it easier to steal it, to use it, to buy You, yourself, have just offered the it. notion that it offers a benefit to be able to access it wirelessly. I am simply pointing out that there is a downside as well. I mean if you're going to suggest that it's a big benefit to the industry, I think it should also be clear that there are some risks associated with mobile access to networks that go directly at music uniquely, because music is more associated with mobility. We need our eyes to see for safety. Then we use our ears for recreation. So a mobile person uniquely accesses music.

Q What does mobility, Mr. Griffin, have to do with the ability to steal the music that you're talking about, the downside of this access?

A Because someone -- a great example

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	are some of the surveys that have been done
2	with satellite where people say hey, I didn't
3	buy another CD after that or those who use
4	music services and say why do I need to
5	purchase discs now that I have access wherever
6	I go, wherever I am. Clearly, that's a risk.
7	Q Sir, are you just suggesting that
8	people subscribe to something like a satellite
9	service, they'll be less likely to buy CDs?
10	A Yes. I believe that. I believe -
11	- of course, you will have some people, just
12	like some people who smoke don't get cancer.
13	I mean, of course, you're going to have
14	statistics that run both ways, but in general,
15	there's a strong substitution when one can
16	access music wirelessly that is not similarly
17	true when they are tethered to a copper cable
18	or a fiber optic cable.
19	Q And they're paying for that
20	access, correct? Putting pirates aside,
21	people who are listening to webcasts, the
22	record companies are compensated for that,

1	right, through a royalty?
2	A One hopes that they are being
3	compensated, healthily, through a good
4	royalty, yes.
5	Q Okay. Now you say on page 20 of
6	your statement that from noncommercial
7	webcasters to small webcasters to the large
8	webcasters, all are moving to wireless.
9	A Yes.
10	Q Now is it your testimony that
11	Yahoo, for example, is moving to wireless?
12	A Oh yes.
13	Q And do you mean by that to say
14	that it's just now possible that you can
15	listen to Yahoo on a wireless device?
16	A It's just now possible?
17	Q No. When you say that Yahoo is
18	moving to wireless
19	A Yes, they are.
20	Q And what is Yahoo doing different
21	than they've done before to move to wireless?
22	A Flying to Helsinki, Korea, people

1	who make portable devices, forging
2	relationships with them. Yes, I know you have
3	a skeptical look that the Court Reporter
4	should note, but my point is that quite
5	directly, they are making those deals and
6	seeking to have their software and their links
7	pre-installed on those devices such that they
8	will go to Yahoo to get their content.
9	Q Wait a second. You're saying that
10	Yahoo is meeting with Nokia? Is that your
11	testimony?
12	A Oh yes.
13	Q Who at Yahoo?
14	A I can't give you a specific name.
15	I'm sure Dave Goldberg is, but I can't say
16	that I sat there in a meeting between Dave
17	Goldberg and someone from Helsinki, but I can
18	tell you that those people in Helsinki and in
19	Korea and in other places are having meetings
20	with Yahoo and that it's more than a
21	suggestion because the end result is that

those devices ship with Yahoo pre-installed on

1	the menu structures of those devices as a
2	result of those meetings. So those meetings
3	are without question. The end result of those
4	meetings is without question. It's not merely
5	my guess or my opinion.
6	Q Are you aware of a single meeting
7	that you can tell us about where Yahoo
8	discussed providing webcasting, its webcasting
9	services on a wireless device?
LO	A I can't cite the specific meeting
L1	where that happened. It's clear to me that
L2	the answer to my statement is an accurate one.
L3	Q Now you showed us some devices
L4	that you tested. It's true, is it not, that
L5	you didn't actually test whether you can
L6	
	currently listen to Yahoo on a portable
L7	device, is that right?
L8	A I've used Yahoo to listen to on a
L9	portable device. I don't recall which one it
20	was, but we didn't use it in our tests.
21	Q You didn't use it in your tests?
22	A Not in this specific one that we
I I	1

1	showed here. I've used devices and used it
2	before, yes.
3	Q You've listened to Launchcast on a
4	wireless device?
5	A I can't specifically Launchcast.
6	I can simply tell you I've opened the box, new
7	wireless devices have Yahoo built into the
8	menu structure. I've used it to access Yahoo.
9	Whether the specific example you were asking
10	me is something that I've done, I can't say.
11	Q Which device comes pre-installed
12	with Yahoo on it?
13	A I don't recall. I truly do not.
14	Q And the devices that you are
15	talking about, what Yahoo service is pre-
16	installed?
17	A I truly do not recall the specific
18	services that are pre-installed, but I can
19	tell you without question that Yahoo people
20	are working together with mobile device
21	manufacturers to pre-install their services
22	and provide as much as they can in a way of

1	driving traffic towards Yahoo with those
2	devices and that that includes portable music.
3	Q And you don't know when if
4	these conversations are happening, you don't
5	know when any of that is going to be released?
6	A I believe some of it has already
7	been released. I've told you that there are
8	devices that they come out of the box and have
9	Yahoo pre-installed on them.
10	Q But you can't
11	A Which specific device or when the
12	meeting was, I mean, I don't recall those
13	things. I'm absolutely sure as I sit here and
14	tell you honestly that there are meetings with
15	Yahoo, with not only Nokia, but with other
16	leading manufacturers of portable wireless
17	devices.
18	Q Is it your testimony that right
19	now Launchcast will work on portable devices?
20	A I don't know right now. I didn't
21	test it today or this week.
22	Q And same question with AOL. Do

you know whether right now you can listen to
AOL Radio on a wireless device?
A I didn't test it recently.
Q So you're aware that Yahoo and AOL
are actually the two top-rated webcasters in
the U.S., correct?
A Could be, sure.
Q And so why was it that you didn't
as part of your test here for the Board,
attempt to listen to the top two rated
webcasters in the country?
A Those were the ones pre-installed
on the device, I used them. Because if you
had to install a different thing in order to
make it work, I suppose you would have said
well, boy, you did some programming to make
that happen, didn't you?
And so my goal was to show that
out of the box, these devices can do this.
Q Now are you aware that Launchcast
only works on machines that have a Windows

1	Explorer or Netscape 7.1?
2	A If that's your statement, I'd have
3	to test it. I don't know if what you've just
4	said is true.
5	Q Okay, assume it's true for my next
6	question. If that's true, that would rule out
7	being able to listen to Yahoo Launchcast on
8	your Nokia devices, right?
9	A No, it wouldn't.
10	Q Those don't have
11	A That you could not run the
12	underlying software that Yahoo provides does
13	not mean that you could not take the URL that
14	is generated and put it into another device
15	and listen to that same stream. It just means
16	that it would have a different level of
17	convenience associated with it.
18	Q But you didn't try that?
19	A I didn't try that, no.
20	Q Now you quote a study from IDC on
21	page 21 of your statement. You actually quote
22	an article from a Jack Loechner, I'm sorry,

1	you quote an article from well, let's take
2	a look at 228, actually, and let's see what it
3	is exactly.
4	A Where do you want me to go?
5	Q Exhibit 228.
6	A 228, okay.
7	Q Now this is an article by Mr.
8	Loechner discussing an IDC study, is that
9	right?
10	A I believe that's right, yes.
11	Q And you haven't seen that study
12	itself, correct?
13	A I don't have it.
14	Q Now in your description of Mr.
15	Loechner's article on page 21 of your
16	statement
17	
18	A Yes.
19	Q You say that he predicted that
20	mobile music will exceed on-line music within
21	five years.
22	A Yes.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Q Correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Now you don't know whether mobile
4	music that's discussed in this IDC study
5	includes webcasting or not, is that correct?
6	A I don't know if it includes
7	webcasting, no.
8	Q In fact, this study, the IDC study
9	was actually about four track downloads and
10	not webcasting, isn't that right?
11	A I don't know that to be true.
12	That's what you're saying. I guessI'd be
13	curious as to the proof, but I saw it as
14	general mobile music use.
15	I mean, if you were correct, by
16	the way, the notion of a broadband wireless
17	network would be less useful, so it's
18	mentioned here sort of suggests that you're
19	not accurate in your characterization of it.
20	Q I don't think I asked a question,
21	Mr. Griffin.
22	A Oh, okay.

1	(Pause.)
2	Q I'm going to pass out two exhibits
3	labeled 9 and 10.
4	(Whereupon, the above-
5	referred to documents
6	were marked as Services
7	Exhibit 9 and Services
8	Exhibit 10 for
9	identification.)
10	(Pause.)
11	Mr. Griffin, you said you actually
12	didn't look at the IDC study, correct?
13	A Right.
14	Q You looked at Mr. Loechner's
15	description of the IDC study.
16	A Right.
17	Q Now what I've handed out, Exhibits
18	9 and 10, are some printouts from the IDC
19	website.
20	A I see them.
21	Q If you could take a look at 9, do
22	you recognize this as a press release from the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	IDC website?
2	A It appears to be.
3	Q Okay. Could you turn to page 2 of
4	Exhibit 9?
5	A Yes.
6	Q The last paragraph.
7	A I'm there.
8	Q It says "this IDC study, U.S.
9	Wireless Music 2006 to 2010" etcetera,
10	etcetera, "provides an analysis and forecast
11	of the U.S. wireless music service provider
12	market, specifically the market for the over-
13	the-air delivery of four track songs."
14	Do you see that?
15	A I see it.
16	Q Sir, does that suggest that the
17	study is, in fact, about downloads of songs
18	and not about webcasting?
19	A I would say that it says that
20	that's purely true because the iTune store
21	itself offers webcasting built into the
22	software. And of course, this article stands

1	for the proposition that you pay double for
2	mobile music, that when it's untethered, \$2 is
3	the right price point.
4	Q My question, Mr. Griffin, is where
5	in here do you see anything about this study
6	having to do with webcasting?
7	A I don't think I said that. I
8	think I said that people expect their music to
9	be mobile. And that we believe that mobile
10	music users are going to grow dramatically.
11	I think that's what I used it to cite.
12	Q So it's not intended to suggest
13	that the measurements in here apply to
14	webcasting?
15	A They applied to webcasting and to
16	downloads and to how people expect to use
17	their music.
18	Q And my question is where in here
19	do you see anything suggesting that this
20	survey or study covers webcasting and not as
21	it says here over-the-air delivery of four
22	track songs?

1	A An example is that it refers to
2	music services. Music services are typically,
3	for example, a webcast as a product, would be
4	something different, but the idea that people
5	expect either their services or their products
6	to be available on their mobile device is the
7	proposition that it was used to support and I
8	think it still does. And in fact, I think it
9	supports it even more by pointing out that
10	people are willing to pay double when it's
11	available on a mobile device.
12	Q And that's double for a download,
13	correct, not for webcasting?
14	A For the download. It points out
15	that they're willing to pay twice as much to
16	have it in a mobile format as they would not
17	to. I think that supports the notion that
18	people want their music to be mobile.
19	Q And again, there's nothing in
20	there though apart from a reference to music
21	services that leads you to believe that this
22	study has anything to do with webcasting,

1	right?
2	A I think it has to do with both. I
3	think this is how people want to get their
4	music, whether it's downloaded, streamed,
5	whatever. They would like it to be with them
6	as opposed to at home.
7	MR. LARSON: It's 12:30. This
8	would probably be a good breaking point or I
9	can keep going, whatever the Board would like.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We will
11	recess until 2 o'clock.
12	MR. LARSON: Your Honor, I wonder
13	if it's possible, given the holiday and
14	flights out tonight for the holiday, if we
15	could perhaps take an hour for lunch rather
16	than an hour and a half today to keep us
17	moving?
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Griffin
19	is scheduled for a partial day of testimony in
20	any event, and our time during this break is
21	always completely filled without any excess

time.

1	MR. LARSON: When you say a
2	partial day of testimony, are we stopping
3	early today?
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: It was
5	represented yesterday by all the parties that
6	he would not be a full-day of testifying, that
7	we would only need a partial day to give his
8	testimony.
9	MR. LARSON: Okay.
10	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: To which we
11	intend to hold you.
12	(Whereupon, at 12:36 p.m., the
13	hearing was recessed, to reconvene at 2:00
14	p.m.)
15	CROSS EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
16	BY MR. LARSON:
17	Q Mr. Griffin, just a few more
18	questions that I have for you. You tstified
19	earlier this morning about WiMax and Sprint's
20	offering of WiMax, do you recall that?
21	A That's right.
22	Q Now that was that Sprint

1	service was just announced, correct?
2	A That's right.
3	Q And it's not yet available?
4	A I don't know. I'm not from
5	Sprint.
6	Q Right, and it's not clear exactly
7	when it will be available, correct?
8	A It's a rollout they're planning to
9	do soon, but you're right. You can't lay that
10	down in a concrete timetable where and when
11	yet.
12	Q And on page 23 in the article you
13	quote, it says Sprint expects to invest a
14	billion in 2007 and between \$1.5 and \$2
15	billion 2008?
16	A Right.
17	Q But no indication of when it will
18	actually be live for consumers?
19	A Well, it won't be just one thing,
20	so it will go live in a city first, so you
21	wouldn't say oh, you have to complete the
22	whole nationwide network before you turned it

1	on. It would be a priority schedule of which
2	cities it will come to first.
3 ·	Q Now when you discuss cellular, on
4	page 24 of your statement, you quote an
5	article by Amy Gilroy discussing the study by
6	The Yankee Group?f
7	A Yes.
8	Q You didn't review that study or
9	produce it in this proceeding, correct?
10	A No, I did not.
11	Q Okay. Now if you could turn to
12	page 26.
13	A I'm there.
14	Q You mentioned down under the other
15	technology section in the middle of the
16	Bluetooth paragraph?
17	A Yes.
18	Q That you could use your hand-held
19	device to listen to Internet streams in your
20	vehicle?
21	A That you can, yes.
22	Q You can, right. I just want to

1	talk about what would be involved in actually
2	doing that.
3	In order to do this, you would
4	need to purchase a hand-held portable device
5	capable of receiving webcasts, correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And those are often in the range
8	of \$200 or \$300?
9	A It can be, although again, there's
10	a subsidy element with cellular companies.
11	Q Right.
12	A So you even though they may
13	cost \$200 to \$300, they may offer them to you
14	at a lower price in return for a two-year
15	commitment.
16	Q So you're paying one way or the
17	other?
18	A Oh, to be sure. Yes.
19	Q And the Nokia 770 that you
20	discussed, I think you said that retails for
21	around \$300?
22	A No, that's \$199 right now. I

1	believe that's right. I could be off by a
2	little bit, but I think it's around \$199 now.
3	Q So when you wrote your testimony,
4	it was \$300?
5	A It might have been higher then,
6	yes.
7	Q And to receive a webcast on this
8	portable device, you'd have to purchase a
9	subscription from the cellular company to get
10	internet access, correct?
11	A On which device?
12	Q On any device.
13	A On any device, you would need to
14	be subscribing, yes, to cellular service.
15	Q Right, in order to
16	A I just meant to differentiate so
17	that it wasn't confusing, that the 770 is not
18	a cellular service.
19	Q Got you. No, I didn't mean the
20	770, in particular.
21	So in your car, you would have a
22	device and you would need to subscribe. Can

1	you tell me what would be, what's the ballpark
2	of the subscriptions for cellular service in
3	terms of price?
4	A I'd say it ranges from \$30 to \$130
5	a month in there somewhere, depending on
6	usage.
7	Q And is it the case that there are
8	sometimes additional data charges from the
9	cellular company for data intensive activities
10	like the streaming of music?
11	A It can be, although it's more
12	common now to buy a flat-fee data
13	subscription, if that's what you're referring
14	to, yes. You would pay extra to get a flat-
15	fee data subscription and you would need less
16	minutes on your regular plan.
17	Q Now on your video that you showed
18	when you were demonstrating the cellular
19	listening, it appeared on the screen, and
20	correct me if I'm wrong, that there actually
21	was sort of a meter running showing charges
22	that

1	A Are you referring to the price
2	indicator?
3	Q Yes.
4	A It's an arbitrary indicator.
5	Q Okay.
6	A That is merely there in the event
7	that you were trying to keep track of your
8	usage.
9	Q Okay.
10	A That indicator on the software
11	gives you an arbitrary amount, but it doesn't
12	reflect a real amount.
13	Q Okay.
14	A It's merely like a relative
15	indicator, if you were paying by the minute,
16	and you were wanting to keep track, you would
17	watch that, but I don't pay by the minute and
18	so it shows up anyway, even though it's not a
19	relevant consideration to me.
20	Q Okay, and so you pay a higher
21	price to get basically all you can eat?
22	A Well, I think it's a lower price,

1	actually. I wouldn't pay a higher price for
2	all you can eat. It actually lowers my bill.
3	Q Right.
4	A To pay for all you can eat.
5	Q Understood, right. Now so if you
6	were using this again to to go back to our
7	situation here of listening to it in the car,
8	you said you would have to buy the device.
9	You'd have to subscribe to the cellular
10	service.
11	A Yes, those would likely be
12	coterminous events. Most people buy their
13	device from their cellular subscriber and it
14	comes with a subscription because that's a
15	fundamental part of economics.
16	Q And then you would need to
17	establish a connection to the Internet through
18	that cell phone, correct, or cell device?
19	A That should with the proper
20	device, it's probably enabled to do that from
21	the beginning.
22	Q Okay. And then you'd have to tune

1	in a webcasting station that's actually
2	accessible on a particular device, correct?
3	A I think the word "tune" is a
4	little unusual in this context because it
5	brings to mind someone at a shortwave dial,
6	listening to static, in fact, it's a
7	preprogrammed selection that's roughly as easy
8	as using a cable TV.
9	Q Okay. And then from that point
LO	you would transmit the signal from the device
L1	over to the car radio vehicle
L2	A If you were going to use
L3	Bluetooth, right. Those devices would use
L4	using a term that's associated with them, they
L5	would pair themselves. And the first time you
L6	paired them, you would tell them to trust one
L7	another and then thereafter, they would
L8	automatically pair themselves and trust one
L9	another.
20	Q And now by comparison, if you were
21	going to listen to an AM or FM station on your
22	car radio, you would tune it in and listen for

1	free, correct?
2	A Well, again, "tune" is a funny
3	word. You punch a button, yes.
4	Q You punch a button and you would
5	listen for free, correct?
6	A If it were terrestrial radio. If
7	you were listening to satellite radio, as many
8	people do, you it would not be free.
9	Q I'm not asking about satellite
10	A You said radio.
11	Q Terrestrial radio.
12	A Oh, if it's terrestrial radio,
13	then you would probably not be paying any kind
14	of charge, although if it were public radio,
15	they'd guilt you into paying, I suppose at
16	some point.
17	MR. LARSON: Your Honor, I move to
18	strike the last comment about Public Radio.
19	It's nonresponsive to my question.
20	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I bet you
21	want to join that.
22	MR. TAYLOR: It is fairly

1	gratuitous.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That 10
3	seconds is taken off your time, Mr. Larson.
4	Granted.
5	MR. LARSON: I only have a couple
6	more questions. I'm sorry, is the motion
7	granted?
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You were
9	talking while I gave the ruling.
10	BY MR. LARSON:
11	Q Now you mentioned, Mr. Griffin, a
12	stream ripping program in your testimony.
13	A I did.
14	Q Web radio recorder?
15	A Yes.
16	Q You haven't provided any
17	statistics detailing the sales of this product
18	to consumers, have you?
19	A No.
20	Q And no statistics on the usage of
21	this product by consumers, correct?
22	A This particular product, no.

1	Q And that's a product created by a
2	third party, not by any webcaster, correct?
3	A That's right.
4	MR. LARSON: I have no more
5	questions.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph?
7	MR. JOSEPH: Thank you, Your
8	Honor.
9	(Pause.)
10	CROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. JOSEPH:
12	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Griffin.
13	A Good afternoon.
14	Q May I ask you to turn, please, to
15	page four of your written rebuttal testimony
16	and there you say at the end of the first full
17	paragraph "the number of webcasters has been
18	sharply increased over the last three years",
19	correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Do you see that?
22	A Yes.

1	Q Let me hand you a document that
2	was handed to you in your deposition, we're up
3	to number nine now? Eleven. I've lost track.
4	(Whereupon, the above-
5	referred to document was
6	marked as Services
7	Exhibit 11 for
8	identification.)
9	(Pause.)
LO	I hand you a document that
11	actually has a sticker, Griffin Rebuttal
L2	Deposition Exhibit 2 and I would ask you if
L3	you recognize this document?
L4	A I do.
L5	Q Is this the document that you were
L6	referring to in your citation in footnote 7
L7	for information from www.brsmedia.fm?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q Now I look at this table and I'd
20	ask you to look at this table. By the way,
21	before I do, do you consider BRS Media to be
22	a reliable source of information?

1	A Well, I think it's a relatively
2	reliable source of information that the
3	industry often relies upon.
4	Q And you thought it was
5	sufficiently reliable to rely upon for at
6	least this purpose in your testimony?
7	A I thought it was a good data point
8	to add in addition to my direct statement.
9	Q Do you know if the radio station
10	or the number of radio stations that are
11	listed in this chart refer to terrestrial
12	broadcast simulcasters or does it refer to all
13	Internet webcasters?
14	A All Internet webcasters.
15	Q It also, I note, says the number
16	of radio stations worldwide broadcasting on
17	the web. Do you know how many of the radio
18	stations on this chart are United States radio
19	broadcasters?
20	A No.
21	Q I note that there appears to be an
22	upturn around January of 2003. Do you see

1	that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Was that about the time that the
4	Small Webcasters Settlement Act was passed in
5	the United States?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Mr. Griffin, did you get, in your
8	testimony, concerning the number of
9	webcasters, did you get any data from
10	SoundExchange showing the number of services
11	streaming in 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004?
12	A No, I don't think I did.
13	Q Did you ask how many services were
14	streaming in those years of SoundExchange?
15	A I don't think I asked them for
16	that.
17	Q Well, would it be consistent with
18	your understanding of the number of services
19	streaming and paying the full CARP statutory
20	rate, number of commercial services that the
21	numbers were about 290 in 2001; 170 in 2002
22	these are approximate numbers; 120 in 2003;

1	and 130 in 2004?
2	A Again, in the abstract, I'm
3	hearing you speak the numbers, is that
4	consistent. I mean they weren't numbers that
5	I cited.
6	Q Well, if you don't know I'm
7	just asking you if that's consistent with your
8	understanding. If you don't have an
9	understanding
10	A Well, the number increased. I
11	would say after that settlement, yes. If
12	that's what it highlights.
13	Q But are those numbers consistent
14	with your understanding?
15	A Would you read them again?
16	Q Well, 290 in 2001; 170 in 2002;
17	120 in 2003; 130 in 2004?
18	A The number of webcasters?
19	Q Number of commercial webcasters
20	paying the full CARP rate?
21	A That's a subset of the number
22	we're discussing, so of the commercials who

1	are a subset, I guess I could believe the
2	number, but I didn't break it down that way.
3	Q Now when you cited the BRS
4	material that you included in the exhibit that
5	we talked about, Services Rebuttal Exhibit 11,
6	that chart, did you check and review the BRS
7	website for other relevant information?
8	A I mean I did check the BRS website
9	for other relevant information. I don't
10	recall what I found there at the time. I was
11	looking for a statistic that I thought related
12	to the general flow of how many webcasters
13	there were and I chose this one. But there
14	may well have been other information there.
15	I didn't directly see anything
16	that contradicted this.
17	Q Did you see an article dated
18	September 12, 2002 entitled "BRS Media's Web
19	Radio Reports a Steep Decline in the Number of
20	Stations Webcasting"?
21	A I didn't see that article.
22	O Let me show it to you.

1	A Sure.
2	(Pause.)
3	Q I'm showing you a document now,
4	Mr. Griffin, identified as Services Rebuttal
5	Exhibit 12.
6	(Whereupon, the above-
7	referred to document was
8	marked as Services R-12
9	for identification.)
10	A Thank you.
11	(Pause.)
12	Q Mr. Griffin, do you see that this
13	article reports that the numbers indicate that
14	the current copyright prices as having a
15	direct impact on the number of stations
16	broadcasting on line, in the first paragraph?
17	A Where do you want to refer me to?
18	Q In the first paragraph just about
19	the middle of the first paragraph?
20	A That's what it says.
21	Q Is that consistent with your
22	understanding of what was happening around

1	September 12, 2002?
2	A That there were less stations
3	broadcasting?
4	Q That the current copyright price
5	was having a direct impact on the number of
6	stations broadcasting online?
7	A Yes, that there were less stations
8	because of that.
9	Q And if you take a look at the next
10	paragraph where it says "prompted by the
11	current copyright issue well over one thousand
12	U.S. stations quit broadcasting online." Is
13	that consistent with your understanding?
14	A It wouldn't surprise me.
15	Q Now Mr. Griffin, in footnote eight
16	on page four, it appears that you attempt to
17	contrast webcasting with the record companies
18	and say the record companies are facing
19	declining CD sales. Do you see that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Now you cite a research report
22	there on the Warner Music Group from Citigroup

1	as evidence, correct?
2	A Well, from CitiBank. Citigroup
3	Research, yes.
4	Q Warner Music Group is a publicly
5	traded company, correct?
6	A Right.
7	Q Does Warner Music Group issue
8	quarterly financial reports under the
9	securities laws that are sometimes referred to
10	as 10Q?
11	A Sure.
12	Q As an expert following the
13	industry, and making assertions about the
14	financial situation of Warner Music Group,
15	you've looked at those SEC filings, wouldn't
16	you?
17	A I do look at that, but I don't
18	think I make assertions about their financial
19	viability. I did say that they're facing
20	declining CD sales, but I don't think that has
21	to do with their overall financial picture.
22	That's part of it, of course. That would be

1	one factor that would affect them.
2	Q And would you expect discussion of
3	declining CD sales or not lack of declining
4	sales to be discussed in a 10Q?
5	A Not necessarily. It could be a
6	factor, but absent some kind of change, it
7	might not be brought up.
8	Q Let me hand you the most recent
9	10Q for Warner Music Group. We've marked it
10	as Services Exhibit Rebuttal Exhibit 13.
11	(Whereupon, the above-
12	referred to document was
13	marked as Services R-13
14	for identification.)
15	(Pause.)
16	Let me ask, have you seen this
17	document before?
18	A This particular document?
19	Q The 10Q for the period ending June
20	30, 2006?
21	A I can't recall if I looked at this
22	one exactly, but I do look at the 10Q

1	statements of media companies regularly.
2	Q And this would have been issued
3	before you submitted your written rebuttal
4	testimony in this case, correct?
5	A I think that's right.
6	Q Let me ask you to look at page 41,
7	please.
8	Do you see the bottom of the page
9	where it speaks of recorded music?
10	A Yes.
11	Q It says "recorded music revenues
12	increased by \$90 million or 15 percent to \$678
13	million for the three months ended June 2006
14	from the same period last year"?
15	A I see that.
16	Q And do you see that it also says
17	it was driven by an increase of digital sales
18	of approximately \$50 million and an increase
19	in physical sales of \$44 and I believe
20	you'll find "million" on the next page?
21	A Okay, yes.
22	Q Do you understand an increase in

1	physical sales to refer to CDs?
2	A Well, yes, worldwide.
3	Q And it's your understanding that
4	the worldwide physical sales of Warner Music
5	Group at least increased in the most recent
6	three-month period year over year?
7	A It's a cyclical business. Tower
8	Records just closed. I mean let's face it.
9	CD sales are declining. This is the Warner
10	10Q for one quarter reference any world sales.
11	This doesn't refute
12	Q I'm sorry, I didn't ask that
13	question. I asked whether you agree that this
14	reflected an increase year over year for that
15	quarter, the quarter ending June 30th in
16	Warner Music Group?
17	A In revenue amounts, yes.
18	Q Now actually you cite the
19	Citigroup Report from September 22, 2005,
20	correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Your assertion?

1	A Yes.
2	Q In fact, you sponsor it as an
3	exhibit, right?
4	A That's right.
5	Q Okay. Are you aware of the target
6	price set by Citigroup for Warner Music Group
7	the target price that Citigroup set on
8	September 22, 2005 for Warner Music Group?
9	A I don't recall it.
10	Q Why don't you take a look at the
11	exhibit. It's SX-24RR.
12	JUDGE ROBERTS: Where are you, Mr.
13	Joseph?
14	MR. JOSEPH: SX24RR. It's in the
15	binder, Your Honor.
16	(Pause.)
17	THE WITNESS: Were you directing
18	my attention somewhere in this document?
19	BY MR. JOSEPH:
20	Q Yes, I direct your attention to
21	the
22	well, I'll direct your attention to the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	front page, upper right hand corner?
2	A Yes.
3	Q See where it says "price 1797
4	target \$18?"
5	A I see that.
6	Q Do you have an understanding of
7	what Citigroup meant when they said "target"?
8	A Sure, they think it will go up
9	three cents.
10	Q In what period of time, do you
11	know?
12	A I don't know the period of time.
13	Q Do you know what period of time
14	analysts usually provide target prices for
15	when they issue financial reports?
16	A I guess the next quarter, perhaps
17	the next year.
18	I don't know this particular one,
19	what date it sets as the target.
20	Q Before you cited it as an exhibit
21	and sponsored as an exhibit, did you review
22	this document?

1	A I reviewed it towards the
2	different proposition.
3	Q So you didn't notice what the
4	target price meant?
5	A The target price was not relevant
6	to me.
7	Q Do you happen to know what Warner
8	Music Group's stock is trading, what it closed
9	at last night?
10	A Don't know what it closed at last
11	night.
12	Q Do you follow the stocks of the
13	media companies that you describe?
14	A I review it on occasion.
15	Q Excuse me. Have you reviewed
16	other analysts' reports on the Warner Music
17	Group?
18	A On Warner Music Group? I reviewed
19	them in the past. I don't recall them at the
20	moment.
21	Q Would it be fair to say that
22	Citigroup is the most pessimistic of the

1	analysts that are covering Warner Music Group?
2	A I wouldn't be a good guy to give
3	you a relative read of which was the most
4	pessimistic and which was the most optimistic.
5	Q In following, to the extent that
6	you do follow stock prices and financial
7	information about media companies in the
8	United States, do you use any internet portals
9	or sources to follow that information?
10	A I think I review it as I look at
11	it. Sometimes I sit down and I go and I
12	research and make a little note to myself
13	about what is happening.
14	Q Have you used Yahoo's finance
15	pages?
16	A Oh, I've used those. Sure.
17	Q Do you consider them reliable
18	sources?
19	A Oh, yes. Most of it is relatively
20	from the same source.
21	Q Let me hand you a document we have
22	marked as Services Ex R-14, which I will

1	represent was printed from the Yahoo finance
2	page regarding Warner Music Group last May.
3	(Whereupon, the above-
4	referred to document was
5	marked as Services R-14
6	for identification.)
7	A Okay.
8	Q Right next to the words in the
9	bold print Warner Music Group, do you see at
10	4 PM Eastern Time 26.27?
11	A I do.
12	Q Do you understand that to be the
13	closing price last night of the stock?
14	A Sure, I believe that.
15	Q And if you take a look at the next
16	page where there are analysts, the summary of
17	analysts' recommendations for current month?
18	A I see it.
19	Q You see that? Three analysts rate
20	the stock as a buy?
21	A Right.
22	Q Eight rate it as a hold?

1	A Sure.
2	Q One rates it as a strong sell?
3	A Okay.
4	Q And if you look just above that,
5	you'll see that on 8 May 06 Citigroup issued
6	a sell recommendation on the stock, right?
7	A I see that.
8	Q So based on this, at least, it
9	appears, does it not, that Citigroup is the
10	most pessimistic of the analysts following
11	Warner Music Group?
12	A Based on this, sure.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph, I
14	don't believe that you have gotten anything
15	into evidence yet. I encourage you to move
16	onto something that can be evidence.
17	MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, I have
18	his testimony.
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: But he's only
20	read documents you gave him that haven't been
21	admitted into evidence that he has no
22	familiarity with.

1	MR. JOSEPH: Actually, Your Honor,
2	I certainly don't want to argue with Your
3	Honor, but my understanding was with respect
4	to BRS he said it was a reliable source that
5	he relies upon, that the material in this
6 .	article was consistent with his understanding,
7	that that was particular cable that he used.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: He had one
9	point there that was in evidence. He said
10	that there was an upturn in the number of
11	internet users at about the same time as the
12	Small Webcaster Act passed. That was the one
13	part of evidence that came in.
14	MR. JOSEPH: And he also, I
L5	believe, Your Honor, said that this table that
L6	he was relying on for a number of webcasters
L7	was world wide webcasters, instead of the
L8	United States webcasters.
L9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: That does not
20	effect anything that is in his statement.
21	That does not add anything to his testimony.
22	MR JOSEPH: You don't believe it

1	goes to his credibility? I'm not going to
2	argue here, Your Honor.
3	BY MR. JOSEPH:
4	Q Mr. Griffin, on page 11 of your
5	testimony, you quote an article discussing
6	Clear Channel making a radio station available
7	for streaming on Cingular cell phones. Do you
8	see that?
9	A Yes, I see that.
10	Q Now it appears in that article
11	that you have ellipses in the middle of the
12	paragraph after the word Cingular. Did you
13	cut out a statement that the service allows
14	users to find song titles and artist names for
15	recent songs?
16	A Sure, that might have been part of
17	it. Sure.
18	Q And did you cut out statements
19	that actually described other features
20	available on the service?
21	A Very well may have.
22	Q Did you cut out a statement that

1	the service will offer a little in the way of
2	web surfing functionalities because so doing
3	would limit the number of funds on which the
4	application would work?
5	A I don't recall that. But I may
6	well have cut that out.
7	Q I'll now hand you a document
8	marked Services Rebuttal Exhibit 15.
9	(Whereupon, the above-
10	referred to document was
11	marked as Services
12	Exhibit 15 for
13	identification.)
14	Is this the article you were
15	quoting?
16	A Yes.
17	Q I'd offer this Services Rebuttal
18	15 into evidence, Your Honor.
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any objection
20	to Exhibit 15?
21	MR. HANDZO: Only that I think
22	it's redundant, Your Honor. I believe it's

1 .	already attached to his statement.
2	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: I was looking
3	at 220 and I didn't see it when I quickly when
4	through there. Is it attached in 220?
5	MR. JOSEPH: If it is, I'll
6	certainly withdraw the offer and apologize.
7	Let me check.
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: 220 is cited
9	for that quote in his statement, so that's
10	where I assume it would be.
11	I don't see it, Mr. Handzo. Is it
12	in there
13	MR. HANDZO: If I can just take a
14	look, Your Honor.
15	(Pause.)
16	JUDGE ROBERTS: 220 is the RAIN
17	article?
18	MR. HANDZO: Yes.
19	(Pause.)
20	MR. HANDZO: It may be that it was
21	just the RAIN article, so I withdraw the
22	objection.

1	MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, actually
2	as I read it, Mr.s Handzo, as I review it, the
3	footnote 20 as opposed to Exhibit 220 refers
4	to the blocked quote, Mr. Griffin, is that
5	correct?
б	THE WITNESS: Right, yes.
7	BY MR. JOSEPH:
8	Q X220 refers to the next sentence,
9	correct?
10	A Yes, that's right.
11	MR. JOSEPH: In that case, I'd
12	offer it into evidence.
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: With no
14	objections, it's admitted.
15	(The document, having
16	been marked previously
17	for identification as
18	Services Exhibit R-15,
19	was received in
20	evidence.)
21	BY MR. JOSEPH:
22	Q Now Mr. Griffin, by the fact that

NEAL R. GROSS

1	you've included this service in your testimony
2	or discussion of this service in your
3	testimony, is it your intent to communicate to
4	the Court that this is a commercially-
5	significant service that's being offered and
6	it presents a decent experience to the user?
7	A No.
8	Q In fact, do you have an opinion on
9	the quality of the user experience with the
10	service?
11	A I don't know. They had just
12	announced it and there weren't any results
13	yet.
14	Q Have you, yourself, tried the
15	service?
16	A I have not.
17	Q Did you talk with the author of
18	the article, Mr. Sass?
19	A No, I did not.
20	Q Did you discuss the service with
21	anyone at Z100?
22	A No, I did not.

1	Q Did you discuss the service with
2	anyone at Clear Channel?
3	A No, I didn't discuss it with
4	anyone at Clear Channel.
5	Q Did you discuss the service with
6	anyone at Cingular?
7	A No, I don't recall talking to
8	anyone at Cingular about it.
9	Q So you're relying for all of the
10	information that you present about that
11	service on the published article, two
12	published articles you found in the literature
13	search?
14	A Well, it's you who says that I
15	offered it in support of many things. I don't
16	think I did. I offered this to point out that
17	Clear Channel now believes in the technology
18	and is moving forward with one station and
19	said that they plan to go to more. That's all
20	I offered it in support of. And that's what
21	the article says.
22	Q And that wasn't the question I

1	asked, Mr. Griffin.
2	A Oh, okay.
3	Q The question I asked was were you
4	relying on all of your information about this
5	service on two published articles that you
6	found in the literature search?
7	A Yes, it was very new.
8	Q Now the excerpt you posed is a
9	little unclear. Is it your understanding that
10	the \$299 subscription fee includes all of the
11	features except simulcast streaming, and that
12	streaming is an extra 99 cents?
13	A I'm not certain what features
14	they're offering for the \$299, just that they
15	believe that it's time to offer a service that
16	goes to cellular phones that streams their
17	radio station and that they're going to charge
18	a fee for that and I quoted what I could out
19	of the article.
20	Q Are you aware of any other radio
21	broadcast stations that are marketing a
22	service specifically designed for cell phones?

1	A I don't know of one that's saying
2	oh, you should only listen to this on your
3	cell phone, no. They offer it as a broadcast
4	offering that includes cell phones.
5	Q Now are you aware, sir, that the
6	streaming part of these Z100 Cingular service
7	has been terminated?
8	A I didn't know that, no, certainly
9	when I put this in, no.
10	Q Have you been to the Z100 website
11	lately?
12	A Not lately, no.
13	Q Did you go to the Z100 website
14	before you testified about this particular
15	service?
16	A Yes, when I looked at this I did.
17	Q And you would consider as an
18	expert the Z100 website to be a useful place
19	to find information about a mobile service
20	from Z100?
21	A Not necessarily. I've spent
22	enough time in the radio business to know that

1	sometimes you'll find those things and
2	sometimes you won't.
3	Q But you did look at the page that
4	describes the Cingular mobile service before
5	testifying about it?
6	A I don't recall if I looked at that
7	specific page, no.
8	Q Let me ask you to take a look at
9	the mobile streaming page from the Z100
10	website and see if this refreshes your
11	recollection as to whether you actually did
12	look at this.
13	A Which page is this?
14	Q The mobile streaming page from the
15	Z100 website. I'll hand it to you in a
16	second.
17	A Oh, very good.
18	(Pause.)
19	Q I hand you a document we've marked
20	as Services Rebuttal Exhibit 16, which I'll
21	represent was printed from the Z100 website on
22	today is Wednesday, it would be Monday.

1.	(Whereupon, the above-
2	referred to document was
3	marked as Services
4	Exhibit R-16 for
5	identification.)
6	Mr. Griffin, does this refresh
7	your recollection of whether you've actually
8	ever been to the Z100 mobile website?
9	A No, not really.
10	Q So you can't say whether you have
11	or you haven't?
12	A Oh no, I know I went there, but I
13	can't say that this is what I saw. I don't
14	recall if this is what I saw.
15	Q Looking at this I'll withdraw
16	the question.
17	Mr. Griffin, let me ask you to
18	turn to page 7 of your written rebuttal
19	testimony. Paragraph starting "furthermore,"
20	you discuss how radio broadcasters are
21	simulcasting their over-the-air HD radio
22	broadcasts, correct?

1	A Yes, that's right.
2	Q And when you say HD broadcasts,
3	you're referring to digital broadcasts over
4	the air that the FCC has approved, is that
5	what you're referring to?
6	A Well, I don't think the FCC passes
7	judgment on the individual broadcasts.
8	Q But on the technology?
9	A On the technology, yes.
10	Q Is it true that the first digital
11	channel transmitted by a station over the air
12	is a digital transmission of the primary
13	analog content?
14	A Yes, that would typically be
15	correct.
16	Q Then it's true, is it not, that a
17	station may then transmit one or more
18	additional digital channels that differ in
19	content from the primary analog channel,
20	correct?
21	A Well, no, I don't follow exactly
22	your explanation of the technology. I mean

1	they could transmit a different signal with
2	their digital signal. I mean all of them
3	could be different, if they wished them to be.
4	Q But as a general rule what a
5	station first does is transmit digitally its
6	primaryits only analog channel?
7	A They would take their analog
8	signal and they would make that their primary
9	digital signal. They could do that. That's
10	what most do.
11	Q That's what most do?
12	A Right.
13	Q And sometimes, some stations also
14	transmit additional channels?
15	A That's right. Some stations
16	choose to use that digital capacity to deliver
17	other signals.
18	Q And I notice in your deposition
19	you refer to those as HD2, for example. Is
20	that a common nomenclature?
21	A I do. It's a common reference to
22	side channels.

1	Q Do you know how many radio
2	stations are transmitting over-the-air HD2 or
3	HD side channels?
4	A I don't know the number.
5	Q Do you know what percentage of
6	radio broadcasters that actually transmit HD2
7	or HD side channels are simulcast streaming
8	those side channels or HD2 channels over the
9	Internet?
10	A Well, I heard a figure recently
11	that it was 100 percent and I haven't
12	personally verified that figure, so I can't
13	say to you with great accuracy, but that's
14	what I've been told, but it's difficult for me
15	to find out because I can't geographically go
16	to all these places and check.
17	Q And at least as of your
18	deposition, you hadn't heard that number and
19	you didn't know
20	A I hadn't heard it at the time of
21	my deposition.
22	Q Now it's true, is it not, that you

1	believe that the audience size for over-the-
2	air HD radio could be clearly defined at
3	between 500 and 10,000 people nationwide and
4	you're not even certain that a thousand radios
5	have been sold. Is that correct?
6	A Right. I mean the very upwards
7	limit it could possibly be is 100,000 because
8	that's the number of chips that have been
9	sold, but I'm guessing that there's at most
10	been 10,000 radios sold.
11	Q And you actually said in your
12	deposition
13	A Yes, that's what I said.
14	Q You're not even sure that a
15	thousand radios have been sold?
16	A That's right. I'm not sure that
L7	that's happened.
18	Q Now on page 7 in the last
L9	paragraph, you posit two benefits to
20	broadcasters from simulcasting their HD
21	channels on the Internet, do you see that?
22	A Yes.

1	Q The first is a way to entice
2	listeners to sample the HD offerings and to
3	stimulate them to purchase an HD radio, do you
4	see that?
5	A Now where are you referring to?
6	Q In the paragraph marked
7	"furthermore, they get"
8	A Where are you?
9	Q Page seven, sir.
10	A Now, I'm there.
11	Q They get two benefits. First, it
12	serves as a way to entice listeners to sample
13	the HD offerings and stimulate them to
14	purchase?
15	A That's right.
16	Q And second, the variety and
17	content allows them to attract more listeners
18	to their websites?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now you have no evidence, do you
21	that simulcast streaming of HD offerings has
22	increased HD receiver purchases?

1	A No, I don't have evidence of that.
2	Q In fact, you don't think it's been
3	a successful strategy to sell HD radios
4	because fewer of them, to your knowledge,
5	10,000, have been sold, correct?
6	A They're much too expensive.
7	Q And have you seen or performed any
8	analysis or studies of the relationship
9	between streaming multiple channels and
10	audience size?
11	A No.
12	Q And I take it you haven't
13	performed any analyses or studies of the
14	number of additional listeners that have been
15	attracted to radio station websites by the
16	presence of the additional HD channels, have
17	you?
18	A No.
19	Q Now please take a look at the last
20	sentence of that paragraph where you say
21	"frequently, the broadcasters receive so much
22	value from these two benefits that they choose

1	not to sell advertising or charge subscription
2	fees on the HD simulcasts as their competitors
3	do for their streams", do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Are you saying that there's a
6	causation that broadcasters aren't saying
7	advertising or charging subscription fees
8	because they receive so much other value from
9	giving listeners an opportunity to sample HD?
10	A That's what they tell me, yes.
11	And I believe that.
12	Q Would you agree, would you not,
13	with an audience size nationwide between 500
14	and 10,000 advertisers aren't going to be
15	lining up to buy advertising time on that
16	over-the-air channel, are they?
17	A Well, it depends. I mean, if it
18	were truly niched, they could. But of course,
19	the difference between what actually happens
20	and what they hope to do are different things.
21	Q Do you remember when you were
22	asked that question during your deposition?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Take a look at page 226 of your
3	deposition?
4	A Right, okay. Hold on. 226?
5	Q Yes, sir.
6	A I'm there.
7	Q And you were asked by Ms. Ryan, is
8	it fair statement that if no more than 10,000
9	people are listening to HD Radio, that the
10	advertisers probably aren't lining up or
11	queuing up. And you say probably not, that's
12	right.
13	A That's right.
14	Q And you don't know how many
15	internet listeners listen to HD simulcast, do
16	you?
17	A No, I don't.
18	Q Have you conducted any
19	quantitative analysis on the price a
20	broadcaster could obtain by selling
21	subscriptions for internet simulcast of its HD
22	channels?

1	A I haven't conducted an analysis of
2	that.
3	Q Now sir, let's turn to page 8
4	where you quote Evan Harrison as saying that
5	the number one activity is, in the first
6	paragraph?
7	A Yes.
8	Q On the websites is listening to
9	live streams. Do you see that?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Are you representing to the Board
12	that that is a quote from Evan Harrison is
13	that a quote from the article?
14	A I thought that was a quote from
15	Evan Harrison.
16	Q Now the next sentence, this is
17	what draws and keeps users and reach Clear
18	Channel advertising profits. Are you
19	representing to the Court that that's also a
20	conclusion to be drawn from the article?
21	A That's a conclusion to be drawn
22	from me.

1	Q So you're not saying that the
2	article says that?
3	A No, the quote ended before that.
4	Q Why don't we take a look at the
5	article?
6	A Okay.
7	Q I'm going to hand you a document
8	marked Services Rebuttal Exhibit 17, which
9	bares Sound Exchange Production marks SX REB
10	001743-45. And then there's an additional
11	page at the end which I'll explain after.
12	(Whereupon, the above-
13	referred to document was
14	marked as Services
15	Exhibit R-17 for
16	identification.)
17	(Pause.)
18	Looking at the pages marked SX REB
19	001744 and 001745, is this the article that
20	you cite for the statement about number one
21	activity, listening to live streams?
22	A Yes, I think that's right. Yes.

1	MR. JOSEPH: And just for
2	convenience, Your Honors, the back page is a
3	print this version that we found to make it
4	easier to read. That's all, because I think
5	you will find that the pages that were
6	produced were very difficult to read.
7	BY MR. JOSEPH:
8	Q It's true, is it not, Mr. Griffin,
9	that that statement this is what draws and
10	keeps users, I'm sorry. That the number one
11	activity is listening to live streams was in
12	fact not a quote from Evan Harrison or was
13	rather
14	A You're right. I erred.
15	Q And this article actually focuses
16	on Clear Channel's video activities on its
17	websites, doesn't it?
18	A Oh, it mentions them. Yes.
19	Q Well, in fact, isn't the purpose
20	that it is announcing that it is expanding its
21	online video, on demand initiatives?
22	A Yes.

1	Q And contrary to your statement
2	about what reaps Clear Channel advertising
3	profits, at least this article states right
4	after the Clear Channel quote that video has
5	also attracted more advertising and represents
6	the fastest growing ad segment of Clear
7	Channel's online offerings. Doesn't it?
8	A I believe that and I don't think
9	they are inconsistent.
10	MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, I would
11	offer Services Rebuttal Ex R-17.
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any
13	objection?
14	MR. HANDZO: No objection.
14 15	MR. HANDZO: No objection. CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without
15 16	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, it's admitted.
15 16 17	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, it's admitted. (The document, having
15 16 17 18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, it's admitted. (The document, having been marked previously
15 16 17 18 19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Without objection, it's admitted. (The document, having been marked previously for identification as

1	BY MR. JOSEPH:
2	Q Now, Mr. Griffin, you have a
3	couple of times mentioned consulting for CBS
4	Radio, I believe?
5	A I did, yes.
6	Q And that relationship ended in
7	2005, correct?
8	A It did.
9	Q Let me ask you to turn to page
10	five. You state that in the fourth sentence
11	in the paragraph under B, that quote "they now
12	buy television air time and expense their
13	proposition to persuade listeners to stream
14	during the work day." Do you see that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And you actually cite two ads in
17	your testimony in support of that statement?
18	A I do.
19	Q You're not aware of any other
20	examples of broadcasters buying television air
21	time to promote streaming during the work day,
22	are you?

1	A Off the top of my head, I couldn't
2	name them. But it's certainly not unique.
3	I've heard others talk about it and say they
4	do it and these are examples I found.
5	Q Well, when your deposition was
6	taken, in fact, you said "these are the ones
7	I was aware of." Is that not true?
8	A Right, yes.
9	Q And you do not have any opinion on
10	whether the purchase of television air time by
11	simulcasters to promote at-work streaming is
12	an uncommon or a common practice, do you?
13	A No, it's a part of their mix.
14	Q You do not know whether it is
15	common or uncommon to do that, do you?
16	A Is it common?
17	Q Yes?
18	A Those particular ads?
19	Q Buying air time to promote at-work
20	streaming?
21	A I do not have an opinion about
22	what percentage of their advertising it is.

1	Q In fact, turn to page 202 of your
2	deposition, please. Line 6.
3	A Yes.
4	Q You were asked whether you thought
5	it was a common practice or an uncommon
6	practice, and you said you have no opinion on
7	its commonality, correct?
8	A That's right.
9	Q Now have you done any study or any
10	quantitative analysis that the amount
11	simulcasters are spending on ads to promote
12	listening at work?
13	A No.
14	Q On page six, you say broadcasters
15	know, this is the third sentence, that
16	webcasting is a key to unlocking additional
17	revenue. Have you conducted any systematic
18	survey of broadcasters to determine what they
19	know about the ability to generate additional
20	revenue from webcasting?
21	A Not a systematic survey, no.
22	Q Have you conducted any survey or

1	systematic analysis to determine what or how
2	many broadcasters know that "getting people to
3	stream brings people to their website and
4	earns them additional revenues"?
5	A No.
6	Q Have you conducted any
7	quantitative analysis of how much advertising
8	in revenues streaming earns broadcasters?
9	A No.
10	Q Have you conducted any survey or
11	systematic analysis to determine what
12	broadcasters know generally about simulcast
13	streaming?
14	A No, no systematic analysis.
15	Q On page seven, sir, you cite a
16	Jacobs Media Study. Do you see that right at
17	the top?
18	A Yes, I do.
19	Q Again, there are ellipses right
20	after the word streaming.
21	A Yes.
22	Q Did you eliminate a sentence that

1	said some stations and broadcasting companies
2	have shied away from providing this service
3	because of the cost?
4	A Sure. I may well have done that.
5	I do not recall if that would be the exact
6	sentence I took out.
7	Q Let's see if we can refresh your
8	recollection on that. I will believe you will
9	find the paragraph that you were quoting on
10	the last page of the document. You have
11	"clearly, there is an expense associated with
12	streaming, and then in your quote you have
13	ellipses. The next sentence says "some
14	stations and broadcast companies have shied
15	away from providing this service because of
16	the cost." Is that correct?
17	A Sure, I see that now. Yes.
18	Q Now on page seven, you also say
19	that the broadcasters that hope nonlocal
20	listeners will use the stations' website as
21	their local stations. Is that correct?
22	A Hold on, I need to see where

1	you're referring to.
2	Q And I do actually too. It is
3	function of trying to move too fast. It is
4	the second sentence after the block quote.
5	A Okay, now I see it.
6	Q The broadcasters said that these
7	listeners, and I was correct in referring to
8	these listeners to mean nonlocal listeners,
9	correct? In the context?
10	A Yes. By the way, it does not mean
11	nonlocal.
12	Q Well, the prior sentence refers to
13	listeners outside of the bounds of
14	A That is right. Inside buildings.
15	Q And you considered that to be
16	outside of the bounds of the local
17	A Yes, that's right.
18	Q Okay. Have you conducted any
19	survey or systematic analysis of how many
20	broadcasters are using simulcast streaming to
21	seek nonlocal listeners?
22	A No.

1	Q By the way, have you done any
2	analysis of the mix between local and nonlocal
3	advertising dollars received by simulcasters?
4	A No.
5	Q On page eight, sir, you refer to
6	the Jack and other similar formats. Do you
7	remember that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Is it true that that format is
10	sometimes called adult hits?
11	A It could be called that. Sure.
12	Q By industry sources that report on
13	radio formats, do you know what that format is
14	commonly called? The generic term?
15	A You might call it adult hits. You
16	could call it Jack. There are a number of
17	things you could call it.
18	Q Do you know how many radio
19	stations currently play the Jack or similar
20	formats?
21	A I do not know the number.
22	Q Now you mentioned I won't go

1	there. We will try to move faster.
2	On page 11, sir, you talk about
3	the similarity and the way simulcasters and
4	internet-only webcasters sell advertising. Do
5	you see that?
6	A Where is the reference that you
7	are making?
8	Q It's actually page 11 carrying
9	over to 12. "Nothing exemplifies the
10	convergence more than the similarity"?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you refer to Ronning Lipset
13	Radio, correct?
14	A As an example. Yes, that's right.
15	Q Do you know what percentage of
16	AOL, Yahoo, MSNs, or Live 365's webcast
17	advertising is sold is Ronning Lipset?
18	A No.
19	Q Do you know what percentage of
20	Clear Channel's simulcast advertising is sold
21	by Ronning Lipset?
22	A No.

1	Q Do know what type of advertising
2	RLR, Ronning Lipset Radio, sells for Clear
3	Channel?
4	A I don't know specifically what
5	types of advertising they sell, no.
6	Q Now sir, on page 11, you assert
7	that broadcasters with webcasts are rebranding
8	themselves as digital media narrowcasters,
9	fully capable of delivering video and graphics
10	and interacting with the audience. Do you see
11	that?
12	A Yes.
13	Q In fact, in saying that, you
14	believe broadcasters are trying to shed
15	simulcasting and to move to a digital
16	streaming model or what's heard is not
17	broadcast over AM and FM signals, over-the-air
18	AM and FM signals, correct?
19	A No, not necessarily.
20	Q Do you remember your deposition
21	when you were discussing the narrowcasting
22	proposition? Turn, please, to page 268.

1	A Sure, let's go there, 268.
2	Q Okay, and you start with line 15
3	after you were asked about narrowcasting and
4	you were asked whether the contents in the
5	webcasts from different web sites is still a
6	simulcast and you said, "it was your predicate
7	that it was a simulcast. That's not mine."
8	A Right.
9	Q "My point is that they're going
10	from being simulcasters to being
11	narrowcasters. You could use the word
12	simulcast if you want, but that is one of the
13	things that is not their goal. Their goal is
14	to shed that." Do you see that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And you were then asked, "What
17	you're talking about is a streaming model
18	where what is heard is not a transmission of
19	over-the-air FM or AM signals?" and your
20	answer was, "That is their long-term goal."
21	Do you see that?
22	A Right, for the advertising, not

1	for the content. For example, everybody could
2	hear the same songs, but people in different
3	geographical locations could hear different
4	ads. That would be a narrowcast, even though
5	everybody heard the same thing, they would be
6	getting different ads.
7	Q Well, you would agree, would you
8	not, that video is not part of a simulcast?
9	A Right, from a radio broadcaster,
10	that's right.
11	Q I'm not talking about radio
12	broadcasters.
13	A Although video can be a predicate
14	to hearing the simulcast.
15	Q Now you speak of interacting with
16	the audience in that sentence also, on page
17	11. Do you see that?
18	A And where is this?
19	Q Right
20	A Yes, I see that, yes.
21	Q You would agree that the music
22	played by radio stations is not intended to be

1	interactive, wouldn't you?
2	A Over-the-air?
3	Q Yes, sir.
4	A Right.
5	Q And would it be fair to
6	characterize terrestrial station as music from
7	a few genres broadcast to large groups of
8	people who have no way of knowing when a
9	particular song or artist is being played on
10	some other channel?
11	A Sure. I think that's close,
12	although I don't know where those words are
13	coming from.
14	Q Now terrestrial radio itself is
15	not narrowcasting, is it?
16	A No.
17	Q And the music played on
18	terrestrial radio is not narrowcasting, is it?
19	A No, in both cases it's broadcast,
20	not narrowcasting.
21	Q By the way, you speak about
22	changing ads. Are you aware of any radio

1	simulcasters today that are providing
2	different ads to different listeners?
3	A Not at the moment, no.
4	Q And would you agree that radio
5	simulcasts are far less narrowcasts than
6	multi-channel, multi-genre, Internet-only
7	webcasters?
8	A One more time?
9	Q Would you agree that radio
10	simulcasts are far less narrowcasts, in your
11	words, than multi-channel, multi-genre,
12	Internet-only webcasters?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you would agree, would you
15	not, that terrestrial radio plays less music
16	and less variety of music than multi-channel,
17	multi-genre Internet-only webcasters, correct?
18	A I think that's right, yes.
19	Q And would it be accurate to
20	characterize terrestrial radio as a music
21	store limited to offering only the most
22	popular and mainstream music in contrast to

1	other services which offer all types of music?
2	A No, I won't go that far.
3	Q Well, isn't the fact that you
4	testified precisely to that effect in your
5	testimony submitted in the
6	A I'm not sure what the context of
7	it was.
8	Q I'm going to hand you a document
9	we've marked as Services Rebuttal Exhibit 19.
10	(Whereupon, the above-
11	referred to document was
12	marked as Services
13	Exhibit R-19 for
14	identification.)
15	A Sure.
16	(Pause.)
17	
	Q Mr. Griffin, I'd ask you if you
18	Q Mr. Griffin, I'd ask you if you recognize this document?
18 19	
	recognize this document?
19	recognize this document? A Sure.

1	Q On behalf of SoundExchange?
2	A That's right.
3	Q And that's your signature turn
4	to page 43, please. Is that your signature?
5	A Let me look. Sure.
6	Q Let me ask you to turn to page 25.
7	A I'm there.
8	Q In contrasting the music on SDARS
9	with the music on terrestrial radio, isn't it
10	a fact that the end of the paragraph just
11	before "three" that you say it says "If
12	someone opened a music store selling all types
13	of music when the competition had been limited
14	to selling only the most popular and
15	mainstream music"?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And that was in the context of
18	comparing terrestrial radio to the SDARS
19	services, correct?
20	A I don't know that that's
21	specifically the context. I would have to
22	review this and look at it. I mean again,

1	you're asking me to take something out of a
2	document and say oh, it represents precisely
3	what you wish it does. I can't agree with you
4	on that. I think I believe in the
5	statement because I wrote it, but the context
6	that it comes from and its meaning I would
7	have to glean by reviewing it.
8	Q Well, at least for now, if you
9	review that paragraph, does that refresh your
10	recollection on what the context was that you
11	were speaking of?
12	A Not sufficiently to agree to your
13	generality.
14	Q By the way, let me ask you to turn
15	to page 14?
16	A Yes, I'm there.
17	Q I'm sorry, the SDARS testimony?
18	A Yes.
19	Q You were questioned where certain
20	language that I was quoting came from. If you
21	look right under greater functionality, the
22	music played by see where you said the

1	music played by radio stations is not intended
2	to be interactive?
3	A Right, it's not intended to be
4	interactive.
5	Q And those were actually your words
6	in your SDARS testimony, correct?
7	A Yes, it's not intended to be
8	interactive.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph,
10	that strikes me as a curious and narrow
11	question and answer. Interactive in the
12	context of statutory licenses, perhaps, but
13	certainly not interactive in the context of
14	the definition of that word.
15	Isn't the goal of broadcasting to
16	generate revenues for advertisers? And if you
17	generate revenues for advertisers, that's a
18	response by listeners to the radio?
19	And if they're responding
20	favorably, isn't that an interaction to the
21	music?
22	MR. JOSEPH: I was simply asking,

1	Your Honor, the witness' use of the word in
2	the context of his statement, but if you'd
3	like my answer, I can certainly
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: If you used
5	that phrase and gotten answers, agreeing with
6	you on a number of questions here and it just
7	it hadn't been referenced in the context of
8	statutory licenses which I believe you may
9	intend to be saying which is very different
LO	than other context.
11	BY MR. JOSEPH:
12	Q Mr. Griffin, when you use the term
L3	"interactive" in the context of music played
L4	by radio stations is not intended to be
L5	interactive
L6	A Is this on page 14?
L7	Q On page 14.
L8	A Let me go here.
L9	Q Were you referring to interactive
20	in the sense of the statutory license or
21	interactive in a broader sense?
22	A I mean in the sense of the

1	interactive license.
2	Q It's also true that music from
3	SDARS is not intended to be interactive, isn't
4	it, in the sense of the statutory license?
5	A No, I disagree with that. I mean
6	they have functionality that tells you when
7	certain artists are playing. They want you to
8	turn the channel. Of course, they do. They
9	have alerts to have you move to other
10	channels.
11	Q I'm sorry, when you speak of in
12	the sense of the statutory license, do you
13	mean to the material that qualifies for the
14	statutory license as interactive or
15	noninteractive or do you have a different
16	meaning in mind?
17	A I'm having trouble following where
18	you want to go with this and where your
19	hypotheticals are and which are your
20	statements and which are mine.
21	MR. HANDZO: Your Honor, I'm just
22	going to object at this point. I think we've

1	gotten way far afield of now cross examining
2	him about what he intended in a written
3	statement submitted in another case dealing
4	with another set of music services. I
5	certainly didn't have a problem with Mr.
6	Joseph starting down this road, but at this
7	point I think we've mined this one way more
8	than we need to.
9	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Overruled.
10	BY MR. JOSEPH:
11	Q Do you have an understanding that
12	the statutory license that this Court is
13	charged with setting license fees for is not
14	available to interactive services as that term
15	is used in the applicable statute?
16	A As regards interaction with that
17	one station itself or interactive overall?
18	Q Generally, do you have an
19	understanding about whether this Court is
20	charged with setting rates for let me
21	withdraw that and not ask it that way.
22	Do you have an understanding of

1	whether the term "interactive" has a meaning
2	defined in the statute that governs the scope
3	of the statutory license?
4	A I understand the intent of the
5	statute, I believe.
6	Q That wasn't my question. Do you
7	understand that the statute, in fact, contains
8	a definition of the term "interactive" in the
9	context of the eligibility of a service for
10	the statutory
11	A I believe it does.
12	Q Is that the sense in which you are
13	using the word "interactive" in this statement
14	that you made on page 14 of the SDARS
15	testimony?
16	A It's a complex question that
17	you're asking. I can answer it the best I can
18	in the most honest way I can. It's not a yes
19	or no. I can tell you that the station itself
20	is not intended to be interactive, but that
21	does not mean that the medium of webcasting is

not interactive. And so when offered by a

22

1	webcaster, it's in an interactive context, but
2	the station itself is not intended to be
3	interactive and there are a number of
4	limitations in the act that are designed to
5	limit the interactivity of the particular
6	station, but it doesn't make the medium itself
7	a webcasting or the experience of using that
8	webcaster noninteractive.
9	MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, I think
10	asking this witness whether he meant the
11	statutory definition interactive probably
12	wouldn't be further fruitful and so I will
13	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
14	MR. JOSEPH: I tried.
15	BY MR. JOSEPH:
16	Q Mr. Griffin, a couple of questions
17	about your demonstration of the WiFi and
18	cellular service, just a couple.
19	A Yes.
20	Q I think you said you like to
21	listen to Soma FM?
22	A It is one of the kinds of music I

1	like to listen to.
2	Q Despite the presence of the
3	letters "FM", Soma FM is not a terrestrial
4	simulcaster, is it?
5	A I don't think it is, no.
6	Q In fact, it's an Internet-only
7	webcaster?
8	A I believe it is, that's right.
9	Q Have you ever been to the Soma FM
10	website?
11	A I don't recall going there. I've
12	chosen it off of menus.
13	Q It's true, isn't it, that Soma FM
14	actually stopped transmitting right after the
15	CARP fee was set back in 2002?
16	A Might have been. I still listen
17	to Soma FM. They're still on dials and I hear
18	music. If they stopped transmitting, it's
19	news to me.
20	Q You're not aware of that?
21	A I actually listen. I listen so if
22	they stopped transmitting, they have restarted

1	transmitting.
2	Q And the fact they came back after
3	the Small Webcaster Settlement Act was passed?
4	A I believe you if you say it. I
5	don't know that to be true.
6	Q Okay. Now you talked about the
7	substitution effect of mobile access to music,
8	I believe, when Mr. Larson was cross examining
9	you?
10	A I think I said it's a risk, yes.
11	Q Have you ever performed any
12	consumer survey or quantitative analysis of
13	the extent to which wireless access to non-
14	interactive webcasting will substitute for
15	purchases? And by non-interactive webcasting,
16	I am meaning the webcasting that is the
17	subject of this proceeding?
18	A No.
19	Q Have you ever examined any such
20	consumer survey or quantitative analysis?
21	A Not that I can recall.
22	Q I believe, Mr. Griffin, one of the

1	developments in mobile access that you
2	described briefly was broadband access in
3	airplanes? You mentioned that
4	A Yes, that's right.
5	Q has come around. And on pages
6	28 and 29 of your testimony, you quote fairly
7	extensively a <u>USA Today</u> article about WiFi on
8	airplanes, correct?
9	A I think that's right, yes.
10	Q That's what you were referring to
11	as one of the developments?
12	A It's one development, yes.
13	Q By the way, I notice you've cited
14	<u>USA Today</u> , more than one <u>USA Today</u> article in
15	your testimony. Is that one of the sources
16	that you, as an expert, rely upon for
17	information on the Internet and webcasting?
18	A They have very good reporters in
19	this area, yes.
20	Q Do you review it systematically or
21	do you just happen to see articles from time
22	to time?

1	A I wouldn't say it's a systematic
2	thing, but I know some of their reporters and
3	I think they have good reporting in this area.
4	Q By the way, do you consider the
5	Times of London to be an equally reliable
6	source of information?
7	A It's a good source, sure. It
8	could be. I don't review the <u>Times of London</u>
9	regularly, so I can't really opine on its
10	quality in this area.
11	Q Now on page 32 at the top, you
12	discuss a Lufthansa service that you say
13	you've personally used, is that correct?
14	A That's right.
15	Q Are you aware that the provider of
16	that service to Lufthansa, the Boeing
17	Connection Division, is shutting down that
18	service?
19	A Sure, and I know why and you
20	probably don't want to hear it, which is that
21	Jet Blue found a way to do it for a tenth of
22	the price and so they decided this was not a

1	fruitful thing for them to continue, knowing
2	full well it was going to grow at a much lower
3	price.
4	MR. JOSEPH: I'll move to strike,
5	Your Honor. I ask him if he knew that it was
6	being discontinued.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustained.
8	BY MR. JOSEPH:
9	Q You were aware that, by the way,
10	before you submitted this testimony, correct?
11	A What's that?
12	Q The termination of the connection
13	service by Boeing?
14	A I don't recall being aware of
15	that. I believe it was around this time.
16	Q Let me show you a <u>London Times</u>
17	online article from August 17th which was more
18	than a month before you submitted your
19	testimony.
20	(Pause.)
21	(Whereupon, the above-
22	referred to document was

1	marked as Services
2	Exhibit R-20 for
3	identification.)
4	Does this article refresh your
5	recollection of the time that of when
6	Boeing decided to terminate its connection
7	service?
8	A No.
9	Q No?
10	A No. I was well aware that there
11	was a new service coming.
12	(Pause.)
13	Q And it's true, is it not, that
14	Boeing at least gives the no, I'll withdraw
15	that, actually. I think the fact is good
16	enough with that. I have no further
17	questions.
18	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Taylor.
19	MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, could I
20	ask for a two-minute bathroom break? I'll run
21	and be very quickly.
22	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Let's take

1	five minutes.
2	(Off the record.)
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Thank you.
4	We'll come to order.
5	CROSS EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. TAYLOR:
7	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Griffin.
8	A Hi.
9	Q I'm David Taylor for National
10	Public Radio, if I didn't introduce myself
11	this morning.
12	I am going to try to be very quick
13	in light of the time and the fact that
14	tomorrow is Thanksgiving and that the Judges
15	did not expect to be here this long, so in
16	light of all those things I apologize if I
17	jump around in advance. And if you need me to
18	repeat anything, I'll be more than happy to to
19	keep the record clean.
20	A I'll be as quick as I can in
21	response.
22	Q Mr. Griffin, when you worked at a

1	noncommercial broadcasting station, it was at
2	least some 26 years ago, is that correct?
3	A Sure.
4	Q Was it some 30 years ago?
5	A It was when I was in high school,
6	so it could well have been.
7	Q I don't mean to get into your age,
8	exactly, but
9	A I am 48 years old. I got no
10	problem with that. Thirty years ago is fine.
11	Q Thirty years ago, thank you. And
12	on page 1 of your testimony, you state that
13	"noncommercial broadcasters like NPR are
14	aggressively positioning themselves to exploit
15	the revenue opportunities that webcasting
16	offers"?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now you stated earlier you don't
19	know how much revenue NPR is actually
20	garnering, is that correct?
21	A Not off the top of my head, no. I
22	don't know right now.

1	Q And you don't know how much NPR is
2	earning from webcasting?
3	A Right, I don't know that.
4	Q And you can't tell us how much NPR
5	expects to earn from webcasting in the future?
6	A No, I don't have their budget.
7	Q Turning to Exhibit 203
8	A I have it.
9	Q And if you I think it's
10	including the front page, one, two, three,
11	four pages through called "Selected Audience
12	Rating Statement," take a moment to look at
13	that page?
14	A Yes.
15	Q This information represents the
16	station's broadcast audience, correct?
17	A That's right.
18	Q Is there any information on this
19	page that specifically discusses the station's
20	online audience?
21	A I don't see any.
22	Q Is there any information in this

1	corporate underwriting kit that specifically
2	discusses the station's online audience?
3	A Not that I recall.
4	Q Could you take a moment so that
5	you could say yes or no?
6	A Well, if you'd like me to, I'll
7	look through it for a little bit. I'll give
8	you a sense of it.
9	(Pause.)
10	I don't see anything here that
11	makes specific reference, although I will note
12	that, for example, the final testimonial
13	points out that it drove this particular
14	company's website traffic higher. So perhaps
15	that is a reference, but that is the most that
16	I can point to for you.
17	Q But that reference doesn't say
18	that its online audience, anything specific
19	about its online audience?
20	A Right, it does not.
21	Q Okay. Not turning to Exhibit 214
22	

1	A Yes, I have it.
2	Q Could you look at the last
3	paragraph there?
4	A Yes.
5	Q It says "to learn more about
6	business support opportunities and marketing
7	your business or organization on WXPN contact
8	Mike by phone at 215/573-8728 or email
9	Business Support?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you contact Mike?
12	A I did not.
13	Q Did you contact anyone at WXPN?
14	A No.
15	Q So you did not verify the audience
16	information that's here?
17	A That's right. I accepted their
18	word. I took their word for it.
19	Q If you had contacted Mike, would
20	you have learned that the audience profile
21	here is for the station's broadcast audience?
22	MR. HANDZO: Objection, asking

1	hypothetically what would have happened if he
2	had contacted somebody.
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Taylor?
4	MR. TAYLOR: I will be happy to
5	rephrase the question.
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: All right.
7	BY MR. TAYLOR:
8	Q Based on the information here,
9	isn't this information, the station's
10	broadcast audience?
11	A It is.
12	Q And do you see the ad for
13	Volkswagen there?
14	A I do.
15	Q Do you know whether or not the ad
16	was placed there because Volkswagen sought to
17	have an ad on this station's website?
18	A I don't know.
19	Q And so you don't know whether or
20	not the ad was there as part of the fact that
21	Volkswagen is indeed a corporate sponsor for
22	the broadcast programming?

1	A I don't know.
2	Q Turning back to page one in your
3	written testimony, you state here "real
4	revenues are flowing to webcasters,
5	broadcasters, simulcasters and noncommercial
6	webcasters like"
7	
8 .	A Yes.
9	Q Do you mean to say that the
LO	revenue is flowing to each of these services
L1	as a result of webcasting?
L2	A Yes.
L3	Q And have you conducted any
L4	systematic survey on what NPR-member stations
L5	are earning from webcasting?
L6	A No.
L7	Q And can you tell me which
L8	financial stations of any NPR-member stations
L9	show revenue earned from webcasting?
20	A I don't know.
21	Q And other than the car company
22	Accura, can you name any underwriter who have

1	sought advertising opportunities on the
2	websites of NPR or its member stations?
3	A I don't know. I don't know their
4	names.
5	Q Isn't it true that Accura's
6	interest in underwriting is limited to
7	podcasting and not webcasting?
8	A I don't know.
9	Q Now on page 12, you allege that
10	there is a team at NPR devoted to gleaning
11	fully the revenues from webcasting?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you see that?
14	A Where are you referring me?
15	Q It's on page 12. It is towards
16	the bottom?f
17	A Yes, I'm there. I see it.
18	Q Can you tell us how many people
19	are on this team devoted to gleaning fully the
20	revenues from webcasting?
21	A I don't know.
22	Q And could you tell us how many

1	physicians	on this team have actually been
2	budgeted f	or?
3	A	I don't know.
4	Q	Now looking alt the first job
5	announceme	nt
6	A	Which page are you directing my
7	attention?	
8	Q	That would probably be page 13.
9	A	I'm there.
10		MR. TAYLOR: Court's indulgence.
11		(Pause.)
12		BY MR. TAYLOR:
13	Q	Looking at page 13, the top, it's
14	the web po	sting 1541?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	When did the position close?
17	A	I don't know.
18	Q	Did you notice that there is no
19	such infor	mation in the ad?
20	A	It hadn't occurred to me.
21	Q	Did you call to find out when the
22	position c	losed?

1	A No, I did not.
2	Q Do you know whether NPR has filled
3	the position?
4	A I do not know.
5	Q Isn't it, in fact, true that the
6	job was advertised, but never filled because
7	NPR could not budget the money for the
8	position?
9	MR. HANDZO: Objection, assumes
10	facts not in evidence.
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Taylor?
12	MR. TAYLOR: I'm just asking him
13	whether or not he knows, basically.
14	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: You say
15	"isn't it, in fact, true?" Sustained.
16	BY MR. TAYLOR:
17	Q Do you know whether or not NPR had
18	the money to budget for the position?
19	A Did they have the money?
20	Q To budget for that position?
21	A They have enough money. There's
22	no question about that. I know that to be

1	true.
2	Q Let me rephrase the question. Did
3	they have money budgeted for that position?
4	A I've not seen their budget.
5	Q Do you know whether or not they
6	have money budgeted for that position?
7	A I've not seen their budget. No, I
8	don't know.
9	Q Thank you. Looking again at the
10	same ad, it states "this position leads all of
11	the operations that support revenue goals for
12	the NPR's digital media"?
13	A Where are you directing my
14	attention?
15	Q It's right there at the top.
16	A Oh, I see now. Okay. Go ahead.
17	Q "This positions all of the
18	operations that support revenue goals of NPR's
19	digital media division."
20	A I see that.
21	Q "These revenue opportunities are
22	primarily focused on corporate sponsorship

1	with our online and on demand products, but
2	may also include current and future products
3	that relate to NPR's digital media access."
4	A I see it.
5	Q "Helps invent and support
6	sponsorship opportunities with online and on
7	demand products."
8	A I see it.
9	Q Works closely with NPR corporate
10	sponsorship team to develop strategic
11	sponsorships and other revenue-generating
12	opportunities?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you see the words "webcasting
15	music" anywhere in what I just read?
16	A No. I see neither the word
17	"webcast" nor the word "music".
18	Q In the second ad, do you see
19	anywhere in that ad "webcasting music"?
20	A Where are you directing my
21	attention now?
22	Q It's the bottom ad.

1	A You mean the next ad?
2	Q Yes.
3	A Yes.
4	Q It's web 1544 product manager?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Could you take a moment to take a
7	look at it?
8	A Okay. I'll look.
9	(Pause.)
10	Okay, I've reviewed it.
11	Q Do you see anywhere in the ad the
12	words "webcasting music"?
13	A I see neither the word "webcast"
14	nor the word "music".
15	Q And turning the page there of your
16	testimony on page 14, it starts in the middle
17	of page, it is the posting web 1484?
18	A I see it.
19	Q Now this is the same ad that you
20	discussed with Mr. Handzo at Exhibit 231, is
21	it not?
22	I think if you look at page 12 of

1	page 231.
2	A Well, they don't have page
3	numbers. For the sake of brevity I'm going to
4	say yes. Let's go ahead.
5	Q It's page 234, I've been
6	corrected.
7	A I'm at 234 now.
8	Q Yes.
9	A And you would direct my attention
10	to where, to page 12 did you say?
11	Q Yes. I'll represent to you that
12	it is, in fact, the same ad.
13	A I believe you.
14	Q And looking at the ad, either on
15	page 14 or at the exhibit
16	A Yes.
17	Q Take a look.
18	A I'm looking. Yes.
19	Q Do the words "webcasting music"
20	appear in that ad?
21	A No, the words "webcast" or
22	"webcasting" nor the word "music", these do

1	not appear in this advertisement.
2	Q And again, if you would look at
3	web 462 which starts at the bottom of page 14?
4	A I see it.
5	Q Could you take a look at that?
6	A I'm going to go out on a limb and
7	say neither the word "webcast" nor the word
8	"music" appears in the ad.
9	Q And would include the phrase
10	"webcasting music", right?
11	A Neither "webcast", nor
12	"webcasting" nor the word "music", they do not
13	appear in this ad.
14	Q Now turning to page 231 which is
15	identified as a blueprint for growth?
16	A Exhibit 231?
17	Q Yes.
18	A I'm there.
19	Q And if you I don't think these
20	pages two, three, four, five, six my
21	sixth page at the bottom has a paragraph
22	stating "a new music service."

1	A I see it.
2	Q So in the middle of the paragraph
3	here, it says "the depth of variety and
4	richness of the music in public radio is
5	nearly impossible to find and explore even for
6	the most intrepid, knowledgeable and dedicated
7	listeners. NPR will lead an exploration to
8	develop a multi-genre digital music service
9	that will build distributive value for NPR.
10	Producers and stations will reinforce public
11	radio's role in defining and presenting music.
12	The new service will make it easy for the
13	audience to find, audition, explore, share,
14	store and purchase music in all its forms."
15	In anything that I just read, do
16	the words "webcasting music" appear?
17	A The word "music" appears, but I
18	did not see the word "webcast" or
19	"webcasting."
20	Q Okay. Now you would agree that
21	underwriting is essentially different than
22	advertising?

1	A No. I won't agree with that.
2	Q Well, you would agree that
3	underwriting has restrictions imposed on it
4	that advertising does not?
5	A I'll agree that there are
6	differences between them that may relate to
7	their form in which they're offered, but
8	underwriting is clearly a form of advertising.
9	In fact, Ruth Seymour said as much in the
10	recent Wall Street Journal article. She said
1.1	and you may have it with you, but my
12	recollection is that she said some call it
13	advertising, we call it underwriting.
14	I think that's my point that is
15	that one calls it advertising and the other
16	calls it underwriting, but I don't think
17	they're fundamentally different.
18	Q So you're not aware of the FCC
19	regulations that restrict the ability to
20	engage in underwriting?
21	A No, I'm not saying that there
22	aren't differences. I'm saying

1	Q Is that a yes or no?
2	A What? Am I aware of FCC
3	restrictions?
4	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We can't get
5	a good record with two speaking at the same
6	time.
7	BY MR. TAYLOR:
8	Q The question is are you aware, yes
9	or no, that there are FCC regulations that
10	govern and restrict the ability to engage in
11	underwriting?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And you don't think that these
14	regulations make underwriting different than
15	advertising?
16	A No, there are similar restrictions
17	on advertising as well that the FCC has.
18	Q Okay.
19	A So they both have restrictions
20	from the FCC.
21	Q What exhibit are we now on? R-21.
22	(Whereupon, the above-

1	referred to document was
2	marked as Services
3	Exhibit R-21 for
4 .	identification.)
5	Mr. Griffin, could you do me the
6	favor of reading this for us?
7	A I was riveted and did so upon your
8	handing it to me. So I can assure you now
9	that I have reviewed it.
10	Q Could you read it out loud for us?
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: We don't need
12	it read out loud.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your
14	Honor.
15	BY MR. TAYLOR:
16	Q Does this look like an
17	underwriting announcement?
18	A It's an announcement of some kind.
19	I mean it wouldn't shock me to hear such a
20	thing, to be honest with you.
21	Q Does it look like basic
22	advertising that an advertiser will seek to

1	put on commercial radio?
2	A I wouldn't be surprised to hear it
3	on the radio from any kind of station, truly.
4	Q And why is that?
5	A Because I look at this and I know
6	where you're going and I think gee, could I
7	hear this on public radio? And I think I
8	could. Would it be the most normal kind of
9	advertisement? No, but that's not what the
LO	kind of advertisement that an NPR or a public
L1	radio advertiser typically puts on. But if
L2	they were sponsoring such a thing, they might.
L3	It wouldn't shock me.
L4	Q The question is would you expect
L5	to hear this on a commercial radio station?
L6	A Well, sure. You could hear this
L7	on commercial radio assuming again that the
L8	person had not been somehow paid under the
L9	table or somehow violated the FCC rules, which
20	do apply to advertising. But sure, you can
21	hear this on the radio.
22	O And what aspect of the text

1	indicates to you that it is an advertisement?
2	A Just that it mentions the name of
3	a commercial business.
4	Q Is there anything else here?
5	A Well, there's a price. There's a
6	phone number. I mean, sure. They want you to
7	call that number. I'm not sure where you're
8	going with this.
9	Q That's okay. If you could just
10	answer my questions, we can get through this
11	quickly. So this is a message that you would
12	expect to see on NPR?
13	A See on NPR?
14	Q Excuse me, hear on NPR?
15	A Hear on NPR.
16	Q Yes?
17	A It wouldn't shock me to hear it.
18	Q And are you aware that the FCC has
19	held this specific announcement in violation?
20	A Doesn't surprise me. It very well
21	could have been held in violation.
22	(Pause.)

1	Q Mr. Griffin, have you read the
2	announcement?
3	(Whereupon, the above-
4	referred to document was
5	marked as Services R-22
6	for identification.)
7	A Indeed, I have.
8	Q Does this announcement, is this an
9	announcement that you think an advertiser
10	would want to put on commercial radio?
11	A No, this couldn't be their phone
12	number, so they would be appalled to note that
13	the phone number was an inaccuracy, and they
14	would demand the return of their funds as soon
15	as possible. The poor woman whose number that
16	this ended at, 2000, would be complaining. It
17	might make the newspaper
18	Q Mr. Griffin, I want to thank you
19	for pointing that out, that it is in fact a
20	typo. But putting that aside for our
21	indulgence here, would you think that
22	commercial enterprise would want this put on

1	commercial radio?
2	A Wouldn't surprise me.
3	Q And do you think that do we
4	agree that this advertisement is a little less
5	sophisticated than the one we previously
6	looked at?
7	A Might be. Don't know, I don't
8	think sophistication is my bailiwick, but I
9	will say might be a little more
10	sophisticated. It doesn't have the woof,
11	woof, woof attached to it or
12	indecipherable sounds which you have mentioned
13	over here.
14	Q And nor is there anything about
15	music in the text?
16	A Nor is there anything about music
17	right, there's nothing about music in the
18	text. That's right.
19	Q Do you see anything about prices?
20	A Do not see a word about prices,
21	that's right. I don't see it.
22	Q So we can agree that announcement

1	number two is less sophisticated than the
2	first one.
3	A I'm not going to give you relative
4	sophistication of advertisement.
5	Q Well
6	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustained.
7	Mr. Taylor, Mr. Griffin has already said that
8	media people aren't sophisticated.
9	(Laughter.)
10	THE WITNESS: You've got that
11	right.
12	MR. TAYLOR: That statement I will
13	accept.
14	BY MR. TAYLOR:
15	Q Are you aware whether the FCC has
16	made a ruling on this specific?
17	A No, I would send them to a lawyer,
18	which I am not.
19	Q Does it surprise you if I tell you
20	that the FCC, in fact, has said that this is
21	prohibitive.
22	A You know what? Wouldn't surprise

1	that the FCC said anything or changed its mind
2	a year later. Wouldn't shock me at all.
3	Different Presidents, different Boards.
4	Couldn't surprise me.
5	Q Passing out Exhibit 23, Services
6	Ex R-23.
7	(Pause.)
8	(Whereupon, the above-
9	referred to document was
10	marked as Services
11	Exhibit R-23 for
12	identification.)
13	Q Mr. Griffin, have you read
14	Services Rebuttal Exhibit 23?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And do you think it is an
L7	announcement that you would expect to hear on
L8	a commercial radio station?
19	A Could hear it on commercial radio.
20	Q Is it an announcement that you
21	believe could be heard on noncommercial?
22	A If the pattern is the same, you're

1	going to tell me that they ruled it illegal
2	and that's not going to surprise me either
3	because by definition if it was ruled illegal,
4	it was run on NPR. So the only way a Judge
5	could have ruled this not allowable would be
6	if they actually used them, which I'm not
7	surprised by because
8	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Your speech
9	is delaying our proceeding.
10	THE WITNESS: Understood.
11	MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor
12	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Sustained.
13	BY MR. TAYLOR:
14	Q So Mr. Griffin, you realize that
15	the FCC that I'm about to tell you that the
16	FCC did, in fact, rule this is as prohibitive
17	on a non-commercial station.
18	A I believe you.
19	Q And for the very last one, I saw
20	that for the Board and I thank them for
21	indulging me.
22	(Whereupon, the above-

referred to document was
marked as Services
Exhibit R-24 for
identification.)
Mr. Griffin, this one says Calvary
88.5 thanks Borrough Photography for their
support. Jeff Borrough, a Calvary graduate,
has owned and operated Borrough Photography
for over seven years. Jeff can be reached at
(816) 332-9263. Jeff is a member of the
Professional Photographers of America and
specializes in weddings, family portraits,
either on location or in studio. (816) 332-
9263.
Do you find anything offensive
about this ad?
A No.
Q Does it have anything about price
in it?
A Not that I can see.
Q Does it have any comparisons
between it or another product?

1	A He's a member of an association
2	that differentiates him from others. He
3	specializes in weddings, family portraits. He
4	works both location and in studio. Those
5	could be relative references to others, some
6	of whom have studios, others whom do not; some
7	who specialize in weddings and family
8	portraits, others who do not; some who belong
9	to the Professional Photographers Association;
10	others who do not. He's also Calvary
11	graduate. Others may not be. And he has
12	owned it for seven years. Others may not have
13	his experience.
14	Q Do you have an understanding of
15	what the FCC excuse me, the FCC's enhanced
16	underwriting regulations proscribe?
17	A No.
18	Q And do you believe that this is a
19	commercial excuse me. Do you believe that
20	this would be an advertisement that you would
21	expect to hear on commercial radio?

Would not surprise me.

Α

22

1	Q And are you aware that the FCC
2	has, in fact, said that this is prohibited on
3	noncommercial stations?
4	A Wasn't aware of it.
5	MR. TAYLOR: Nothing further, Your
6	Honor.
7	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Handzo,
8	any further testimony?
9	MR. HANDZO: I have a few
10	questions, Your Honor.
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. HANDZO:
13	Q Mr. Griffin, let me ask you to
14	turn to Exhibit 230 of your testimony.
15	A I'm there.
16	Q You're ahead of me. You were
17	asked some questions with respect to this
18	exhibit about whether I'm sorry, on page 14
19	of this exhibit, about whether weekly Internet
20	radio listeners buy more or less music, do you
21	see that?
22	A Yes.

1	Q And I just want to be clear on
2	your answer, were you indicating that people
3	who like music enough to listen to Internet
4	radio also like music enough to buy a lot of
5	music or were you indicating that there is
6	some causal connection between people
7	listening to Internet radio and buying music?
8	A I was referring to the coincidence
9	that, of course, people who listen to music
10	buy music.
11	Q Now if you could turn, if you
12	would, to page six of your testimony.
13	A I'm there.
14	Q Beginning with the second
15	sentence, Mr. Joseph asked you some questions
16	about your statement that broadcasters know
17	that webcasting is both the key to unlocking
18	additional revenue and a defensive move to
19	prevent the loss of audience and it goes on
20	from there. Do you see that?
21	A Yes.
22	O And what he asked you was whether

1	you had, I think had done any specific studies
2	or surveys about that and I think your answer
3	was no, do you recall that?
4	A That's right.
5	Q How do you know that broadcasters
6	know that webcasting is the key?
7	A I know that because broadcasters
8	tell me this.
9	Q In what context do you have those
10	conversations with them?
11	A Well, I mean as an example, I was
12	talking to the CEO of CBS Radio which was
13	Infinity Radio and he said just this, we need
14	to get more stations online and fast. And we
15	need to do this because we want to make our
16	numbers, we want to grow our revenues.
17	Q So your information in this regard
18	comes not from surveys or studies, but from
19	direct contact?
20	A Yes, with clients.
21	Q Now let me ask you to take a look
22	at Services Rebuttal Exhibit 18 that Mr.

1	Joseph showed you.
2	A Let me go there.
3	(Pause.)
4	I'm there. I've got it.
5	Q Looking at the first page.
6	A Yes.
7	Q You'll see, I think starting with
8	the second sentence, it says "stations that
9	stream stand to gain a significant amount of
10	listening because they provide well known
11	assessable music and entertain those searching
12	for online entertainment. Streaming also
13	allows stations to provide their local
14	audience with simple well-known alternatives
15	to online options like AOL Radio or stations
16	around the U.S. and around the world."
17	Do you see that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And does that accurately reflect
20	what you're hearing from broadcasters?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You were asked some questions by

1	Mr. Joseph about whether Boeing had terminated
2	its wireless service on airlines like
3	Lufthansa?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And you indicated that you knew
6	why that happened?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Why did it happen?
9	A My understanding is is that Jet
10	Blue which was bidding for frequencies at
11	roughly the same time as this news came,
12	disclosed that it was going to do this in a
13	partnership that would be able to do this at
14	a much lower price, with a slightly different
15	technology that would effectively price Boeing
16	out of the market with the methods that it
17	would be using.
18	Q And looking at Services Exhibit 20
19	which is what the Judge showed you in
20	connection with this?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And looking at the second to last

1	paragraph, do you see what Lufthansa says
2	about whether they intend to continue offering
3	such a service?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And what is that?
6	A They say it will be available
7	further on, that their customers are happy for
8	having this on board.
9	Q Lastly, Mr. Griffin, if you turn
10	to SoundExchange or Exhibit 234 that Mr.
11	Taylor asked you about, these are the NPR ads?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And if you would turn to one that
14	he asked you about on page 12?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Number 1484?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And you'll see in the first
19	sentence there it says that this position
20	coaches and managers a team of representatives
21	who secure corporate sponsorship for NPR on
22	air and digital programming.

1	A Yes.
2	Q My question is do you have an
3	understanding of what digital programming
4	means in this context?
5	A I believe it's referring to the
6	digital delivery of their content, as opposed
7	to the terrestrial delivery.
8	Q Would that include webcasting?
9	A Yes.
10	Q That's all I have, thank you.
11	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any further
12	questions?
13	MR. LARSON: None from me, Your
14	Honor.
15	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Mr. Joseph?
16	MR. JOSEPH: Your Honor, given the
17	hour, what I have isn't important. Thank you.
18	I'll say no.
19	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: Any questions
20	from the bench?
21	Thank you, sir. That ends your
22	testimony.

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	(The witness was excused.)
3	CHIEF JUDGE SLEDGE: And that
4	completes the evidence to be presented this
5	week, with a holiday tomorrow. We resume
6	Monday morning at 9:30 with Professor
7	Pelcovits.
8	We'll be in recess.
9	(Whereupon, at 3:57 p.m., the
10	hearing was adjourned, to reconvene Monday,
11	November 27, 2006 at 9:30 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the

foregoing transcript in the matter of:

The Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings and Ephemeral Recordings
Webcasting Rate Adjustment Proceeding

Before:

Copyright Royalty Board Library of Congress

Date:

November 22, 2006

Place:

Washington, D.C.

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

John/Mongoven